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#### Abstract

The report describes the levels of non-response and the imputation procedure used in the Mexican Health and Aging Study (MHAS/ENASEM) 2001, to assign an exact amount to questions on economic value that had a non-response, o a response using unfolding brackets. A multiple imputation technique, involving the regression sequencing method with a SAS-based software routine (IVEware) provided by the University of Michigan, was used on economic quantity variables such as income, assets, health care expenditures, and monetary help received.

The method implemented offers several appealing characteristics for the MHAS population: it allows for imputation of zero as a possible value for amounts, it takes into account other variables being imputed as regressors in the imputation of a particular variable, and the imputation method allows for the brackets that were used in the survey to recover the nonresponse on amounts.

The MHAS data files corresponding to each Section of the survey instrument contain the original variables as they were responded in the interview. All constructed variables on the monetary amounts (with missing values) and the corresponding imputed variables (without missing values) are provided to the user in separate data files. In addition, we have constructed a file at the individual level that contains a variable for total individual income, and a file at the household level with a variable for total (individual or couple) net worth. The table below provides a list of these data files, containing the imputed variables and constructed variables that are available to the user in the study website. | Section | Section Name | Record unit | No. of <br> variables | No. of <br> observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Section D - imp | Health Care Services | Individual | 19 | 15,176 |
| Section G - imp | Help and Children | Individual/Couple | 58 | 9,834 |
| Section J - imp | Housing | Individual/Couple | 16 | 9,815 |
| Section K - imp | Pension, Income and Assets | Individual/Couple | 146 | 9,811 |
| INCOME | Total Individual Income | Individual | 3 | 15,313 |
| ASSETS | Total Net Worth | Individual/Couple | 3 | 9,811 |


## Introduction

The 2001 baseline survey of the Mexican Health and Aging Study (MHAS/ENASEM) is representative of the slightly more than 13 million Mexicans born prior to 1951 (hereafter referred as population aged 50 or older). The survey was conducted in the summer of 2001, and a follow-up visit is being carried out starting in June 2003. The sample for MHAS was selected from residents of both rural and urban areas, from the National Employment Survey (Encuesta Nacional de Empleo, ENE), carried out by the Mexican Statistical Bureau (Instituto Nacional de Estadística, Geografía e Informática, INEGI) in Mexico. The ENE survey covers both urban and rural areas and has sample in all 32 states of Mexico. The households with at least one resident of ages 50 or older were eligible to be part of the MHAS sample. From this sample frame, there were 11,000 households selected with at least one person of eligible age.

If more than one age-eligible person resided in the household, then one was randomly selected to be part of MHAS prior to the fieldwork. If the selected MHAS person was married or in a consensual union, with the spouse residing in the same household, then the spouse or partner was also interviewed as part of MHAS regardless of his/her age. Experienced personnel from INEGI conducted the survey, with an average duration of 90 minutes per interview. The goal was to obtain direct interviews with the person of interest (selected or spouse). When it was not possible to obtain a direct interview due to illness, hospitalization, or temporary absence, a proxy interview was conducted. Direct or proxy interviews were conducted with 9,806 sampled persons, and 5,424 spouses. In total, 15,230 individual interviews were obtained for a global response rate of $91.85 \%$. The INEGI personnel in Mexico conducted the fieldwork.

It is common to obtain high non-response rates on financial questions in household surveys, both because respondents may be reluctant to talk about financial matters but also because the respondent may not know the information exactly. Thus the MHAS instrument was designed with this particular concern in mind, implementing the strategy of using bracket questions to minimize non-response. This report describes the patterns of non-response obtained in MHAS for the economic variables, with emphasis on the questions used to calculate total income and net worth of an individual or couple. We first present a summary of the economic variables that were asked about in MHAS, followed by a description of the response rates obtained, and the distribution of non-response according to main attributes of the respondents. We follow with a description of the imputation methodology used, and a comparison of the distribution of the original variables and the imputed variables.

## Economic Variables in MHAS

The questions to measure income and assets were asked in MHAS within three sections of the questionnaire: Family Help, Housing, and Income \& Assets. In addition, there were questions on health care expenditures by the individuals. The survey instrument was designed to ask the help from children, housing, and financial sections only from one of the two respondents in couplehouseholds, usually the first interviewed, although the individuals were offered the choice about who could best provide answers to the economic sections. The chosen financial respondent
provided information on each of the spouses' labor income, pension income, and other public transfers. For couples, the questions on business income, real estate rents, financial assets income, and private transfers refer to the couple (jointly). For the cases of single-person households, these questions refer only to the individual respondent. For assets, the information was asked about the couple's net worth of assets in the form of homes, businesses, rental properties, capital, vehicles, other debts, and other assets.

Of the 9,834 households in the sample, 4,321 (44\%) gave financial information in one-person households, and 5,513 (56\%) provided economic information on two-persons. The woman provided the information in about $60 \%$ of the couple-households because she tended to be the first interview of the two. Interviewers were instructed to obtain the information from the first informant if he/she was willing to provide it in order not to risk losing the information if the second respondent refused to grant an interview.

Questions with unfolding brackets were used to recover non-response on the questions about income, assets and other variables that asked for monetary amounts. This technique has been applied in the U.S. Health and Retirement Survey (HRS) with random entry-point, and the advantages of the strategy to reduce non-response in financial questions has been reported in the literature (Hurd 1998, Hurd 1999). Hurd shows that the point of entry of the bracket questions affects the respondents' answers on income and may bias the distribution of the financial variables, thus a random entry point is recommended. In a paper-and-pencil instrument such as the one used in MHAS, a random entry point seemed impractical, thus we opted for a mid-point entry. According to the yes/no response to the initial bracket question, the instrument proceeded to ask about a lower or higher amount. See Diagram 1 for an example of the unfolding bracket questions. In the example, if the respondent provides no exact amount in K.88, then the series of questions in K. 89 are asked. If an amount is given in K.88, then the interview proceeds to ask K. 90 .

## [Diagram 1 about here]

MHAS included 38 different components of annual flows to measure total income of a person (and his/her spouse if applicable), and 18 different types of assets to calculate total net worth of the individual (or couple). Table 1 provides a list of items that were asked regarding income, and Table 2 provides the equivalent for assets.

## Distribution of Non-Response

We summarize first the results for the components of income. The first column of Table 1 presents the 38 components of income that were asked in the survey, and the number of cases that received each series of questions. The second column decomposes the total number of observations into those that stated that they receive the source of income, those that replied that they do not receive such source, and those who refused or don't know the answer. Column 3 of the table decomposes those who receive the source of income into: those that gave an exact value for the amount, those that provided an answer through brackets, and those that refuse/don't know the amount.

From Column 2, it is evident that a relatively small proportion of respondents report receiving income from each type considered. The sources of income with more than $20 \%$ of cases stating that they receive it are: own labor ( $26 \%$ ), spouse's labor ( $37 \%$ ), business income ( $21 \%$ ), family help_1 (34\%) and family help_2 ${ }^{1}(22 \%)$. The column of (No-Response/Don't Know) shows low prevalence, with a maximum of $2 \%$ for business expenditures. From the results in Column 3 about those that report receiving each source of income, we obtain high exact-amount response ( 80 to $95 \%$ of cases for most questions), and relatively good recovery through the bracket questions as well (an additional 2 to $30 \%$ of cases for most questions). The prevalence of (Refuse/Don't know) the amount, conditional on receiving income exhibits low prevalence. For the main sources of income mentioned above, we obtain non-response rates as follows: own labor (4\%), spouse's labor (7\%), business income (5\%), family help_1 (8\%) and family help_2 ( $9 \%$ ). These results reveal that non-response is low for the components of total income considered by the survey ${ }^{2}$. The overall distribution of non-response indicates that imputing the missing values can be a good strategy, since there are a relatively large number of cases that can be used in the imputation equations to assign a value for a relatively small number of cases.

## [Table 1 about here]

Table 2 presents the distribution of responses for the components of total net worth considered in the study. Most respondents report that they have assets in the form of their home (75\%). In addition to this type, relatively few cases report ownership of assets. Business (27\%), vehicles ( $26 \%$ ) and Other Assets ( $44 \%$ ) were the next most-prevalent types reported by respondents. The non-response to ownership in Column number 2 shows low-prevalence (less than $2 \%$ ), with one exception. The item in row number 17 refers to the net value of "Other Assets," and $25 \%$ of the respondents refuse/don't know if they own this type of asset. This high-non response may be due to the lack of specificity of the question ${ }^{3}$.

Conditional on ownership of the asset, we find low rates of non-response. If we focus on the most commonly owned type of asset, the home, Column 3 shows that $63 \%$ provided an exact amount for their home value and for the debt on the home. Another $28 \%$ of cases provided the value through the use of brackets, and $9 \%$ provided no value. Thus the combined non-response (whether own or not, and value of the asset) is around $10 \%$ for the respondent's home. These rates of non-response compare quite favorably with non-response reports from the HRS (see Smith 1995, table 3), which yield non-response on value amounts, conditional on ownership of the asset of $4.3 \%$ on house, $7.9 \%$ on mortgage, and about $30 \%$ on other real estate, on business equity, and on financial assets.
[Table 2 about here]

[^0]Appendix A contains a series of tables, one for each of the economic variables. Each table presents the distribution of the observations according to ranges of the amount and whether an actual value or a bracket value was provided, as well as those for which no information is known. The tables show that the number of cases that provided bracket information is small compared to those that provided an exact amount. Also, the tables show where the bracket responses concentrate among the range of values that the variable takes.

## The Impact of the Unfolding Brackets to Reduce Non-Response

As was indicated by the numbers provided in Tables 1 and 2, only a small proportion of the respondents receive or own most of the income sources or types of assets that were asked about in the survey. Of a maximum of 38 different sources of income possible for a couple, for example, the most that a respondent reported to receive was 12 . Table 3 presents the distribution of the respondent households, according to the number of income components that were received by the MHAS individual (or couple) in the household.

The columns on the table indicate that the majority of the respondents receive only one ( $23 \%$ ) or two ( $26 \%$ ) sources of income, while $11 \%$ report no income. Over $90 \%$ of the households receive 5 or fewer sources of income among those contained in the survey. We include also the distribution of respondents by the number of sources of income for which an exact-value of the amount was given. For example, of those that receive one source of income ( $n=2,246$ ), $87 \%$ gave exact-amount response to the value of the one source, and $13 \%$ gave no answer on the value. Of those who receive 2 sources of income ( $n=2,605$ ), $84 \%$ gave exact response on the value of the two sources, $9 \%$ gave exact value on only one of the two sources declared, and $7 \%$ gave no exact value on any of the two income sources. Thus the diagonal terms indicate the percentage of full-exact-responses, that is, the proportion of cases for which the survey obtained exact-value amounts on all the income sources received by the MHAS individuals (or couples), according to the number of income sources declared. As would be expected, the higher the number of income sources, the lower the percentage of cases that provide exact amounts for all their sources of income.

Table 4 contains similar information, except that the cases in each column are distributed according to whether exact- or bracket-response was provided. For example, of the respondents that receive one source of income ( $\mathrm{n}=2,246$ as before), $93 \%$ gave exact- or bracket-response on the amount of the one source, and $7 \%$ gave no answer on the value. Of those who receive two sources of income ( $\mathrm{n}=2,605$ ), $92 \%$ gave exact- or bracket-response to the value of both sources of income, $5 \%$ provided a value either exact or in brackets about one of the two sources, and $3 \%$ gave no exact or bracket answer on any of the two income sources. Comparing Tables 3 and 4 provides an assessment of the recovery of non-response that was achieved with the unfolding brackets. The difference in the diagonal terms indicates, for example, of those who declared to have one source of income ( $n=2,246$ ) the unfolding brackets allowed the response rate to go from $87 \%$ to $93 \%$. Among those with four sources of income $(\mathrm{n}=1,073)$ the full response rate, that is, a response on the amount of all four sources of income, goes from $80 \%$ to $90 \%$ with the use of brackets. The gains in income reports are significant, ranging from $4 \%$ for those who receive seven sources of income, to $20 \%$ for those who receive 10 .
[Tables 3 and 4 about here]
Tables 5 and 6 present the comparable analysis for the types of assets owned by the MHAS respondents. Of the total of 18 possible types of assets that an individual (or couple) could own among those asked about in the survey, the maximum number reported is $10(\mathrm{n}=1)$. Relatively few respondents own the majority of assets. Table 5 shows that while $38 \%$ of the respondents own none or one type of asset, $93 \%$ of the individuals/couples report ownership of four or fewer types of assets. Of those who only own one type of asset, $56 \%$ provided an exact value, and among those who own three types of assets, $57 \%$ provided an exact value for the 3 of them. Table 6 presents the response rates considering both exact- and bracket-responses. Again, the difference in the diagonal terms of tables 5 and 6 illustrate the gains obtained in response rates through the use of brackets. For those with one type of asset, the response rate increases by $27 \%$, and this gain steadily rises with the number of types of assets reported. For example, for those who report ownership of three types of assets ( $\mathrm{n}=1,782$ ), the full response rate (response on the value of the 3 types of assets) goes from $57 \%$ to $87 \%$, a gain of $30 \%$ that is due to the use of unfolding brackets. In conclusion, the impact of the use of brackets as a strategy to minimize non-response seems to be particularly beneficial for the variables measuring the total net worth of the individuals/couples in MHAS.
[Tables 5 and 6 about here]

## Distribution of Non-Response by Main Demographic Characteristics

Table 7 presents the percent of non-response ${ }^{4}$ according to the main attributes of the individuals in select income components. We selected these income items from Table 1, by considering those in which a relatively large number of cases declared that they received the particular source of income. We examine the rate of non-response in those variables by age, sex, education, urban/rural residence, and whether the MHAS income responses refer to an individual or a couple. Overall, as had been previously mentioned, rates of non-response are quite low. By gender, non-response for own earned income is higher for male respondents ( $2 \%$ ) than females $(1 \%)$, while the non-response of spouse's earned income is higher for females $(3.5 \%)$ compared to that of males (1\%). Family help registers higher non-response, perhaps because this is an informal and more-irregular type of income. Female respondents have higher non-response as well among those reporting help from child-1 ( $4 \%$ for female compared to $3 \%$ for male respondents).

According to age, there seems to be higher non-response on business income among younger individuals, and on family help among older respondents. Of those under age 60, about $3 \%$ gave no response on business income, compared to 1 or $2 \%$ among those 60 or older. Those under age 60 register 1 or $2 \%$ non-response in family help_1, increasing with age to $7.5 \%$ for those aged 70 or older. By years of education, we find higher non-response on spouse's earned income for those with more education. Non-response on family help is higher for those with low education.

[^1]These patterns could be due to the composition of the individuals that receive each source of income. For example, younger individuals are more likely to receive business income than older ones, and older respondents with low education are more likely to receive family help.

In general, we find slightly higher non-response in less-urban areas. Business income shows higher non-response among rural (3\%) versus urban residents ( $2 \%$ ). Regarding family help also, rural residents report higher non-response. This is somewhat surprising, as we expected that individuals in urban areas would be more reluctant to report income. The effect of education could be operating here, however. Since individuals with lower education tend to reside more in rural areas, and thus are more likely to receive business income and family help, we may be observing again a composition effect.

Regarding the effect of whether the household responses on income and assets refer to one individual or two, there seems to be no clear pattern. We find that non-response is higher on business income among couple respondents than one-person households. On the other hand, nonresponse on family help is lower among couple households.

Table 8 presents the analysis of non-response for select assets questions, by age, sex, education, urban/rural residence and one/two respondents per household. As was previously mentioned, we find higher non-response for assets variables than the ones presented for income. Female respondents show higher non-response on the value of their home and the value of other-assets. Older respondents also don't know the value of their home at a higher rate than younger respondents. However, younger respondents have higher non-response on the value of vehicles or other assets. Individuals with low education have higher non-response on value of the home, but lower non-response on capital assets, vehicles or other assets. Rural residents report more non-response on value of the home and gross value of businesses than urban residents, but they also exhibit lower non-response on capital assets, vehicles and other assets. Regarding the number of individuals, we obtained higher non-response on gross value of business and of vehicles if the information referred to a couple than to a sole individual. However, on the value of home, the rate of non-response was slightly higher when the information referred to one individual than when it was about a couple. The highest non-response was on the net value of other-assets (K42), around $25 \%$, as presented in Table 1. This is partly due to the fact that the catch-all question on the net value of other-assets not previously mentioned in the survey failed to be followed (inadvertently) by unfolding brackets if a non-response was provided.
[Tables 7 and 8 about here].

## Imputation Methodology

The bracketed unfolding techniques to reduce item non-response were used extensively in the collection of amount data in MHAS, including not only economic quantity variables such as income and assets but also amount of help hours, health care expenditures, household rent and household consumption. Individuals unable or unwilling to provide an exact amount in response to such questions were asked a series of unfolding bracket questions.

The non-response on amounts -- either complete non-response or when information was provided by the bracket questions -- was imputed in order to calculate income and assets by major categories, and to provide total income and total net worth estimates. We used a multiple imputation technique, involving the method of sequence of regressions with a SAS-based software routine (IVEware), distributed by the University of Michigan (Raghunathan et al. 2000; Raghunathan 2001). The method was selected because it offers several appealing characteristics for the MHAS respondents:

1) Allows for imputation of zero as a possible value for amounts. This is an important characteristic of the methodology, since we have a large proportion of cases with no-income or no-assets in most of the categories asked, and thus the value of zero needs to be one of the value options.
2) Takes into account other variables being imputed as regressors in the imputation of a particular variable. This is appealing since we have multiple variables that need to be imputed in order to derive a summary variable, e.g. total income.
3) Takes advantage of the brackets used to recover the non-response. This is a valuable attribute of the methodology, since there were an appreciable number of cases that although provided non-response initially, opted for a bracket response upon query.
4) Allows for transformations to the imputed variable, which is particularly important for variables with skewed distributions, such as those for income and assets ${ }^{5}$.

We imputed separately the missing values for the sampled respondent's items and the spouse's. We grouped variables to be imputed together according to the list provided in Table 9. The table presents the groupings of the variables as well as the names of the original, derived, and imputed variables as they appear in the MHAS/ENASEM data files. The "original" variables refer to the question numbers as they appear in the questionnaire. The "derived" variables refer to the amount of income or value of an asset as it was derived from the answers to the corresponding questions on the survey, and these may contain missing values. Finally, the "imputed" variables contain no missing values.

## [Table 9 about here]

We created the variable INTER to assign to each individual respondent his/her corresponding information on age, sex, and education, and the individual-specific income. For the case of couple-households, INTER was created using the information on whether the information was provided in the first or second interview in the household. The steps taken to implement the imputation method are represented graphically in a flow chart in Diagram 2. The process can be summarized as follows:

[^2]1) Determine a set of variables with no missing values, which will be used as regressors to impute the non-response. We used the following variables: age, sex, and education. These are the variables labeled as X in the flow diagram, whereas the variables to be imputed in a given group are labeled as Y1, Y2, Y3, ... Yn.
2) Estimate the regression of
$\mathrm{Y} 1=$ function $(\mathrm{X})$
3) Using this regression equation, impute the missing values of Y1. The new variable is labeled Imp-Y1. The imputation software allows for the imposition of constraints, such as a sub-sample of cases to be imputed, or bounds for the value that the imputation should take (given for example, by the thresholds implied by the answers to the bracket questions). The regression may also be using linear or non-linear transformation of the variables.
4) Estimate the regression of
$\mathrm{Y} 2=$ function ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Imp}-\mathrm{Y} 1$ )
5) Using this regression equation, impute the missing values for Y 2 .
6) Estimate the regression of Y3 = function (X, Imp-Y1, Imp-Y2)
7) Using this regression equation, impute the missing values for Y 3 .
8) Repeat steps (6) and (7) until all Y's have been imputed.
9) Start another cycle. Estimate the regression of $\mathrm{Y} 1=$ function ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Imp}-\mathrm{Y} 2$, Imp-Y3, Imp-Y4, $\ldots$, ImpYn)
10) Using this regression equation, impute the missing values for Y 1.
11) Estimate the regression of $\mathrm{Y} 2=$ function $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Imp}-\mathrm{Y} 1, \operatorname{Imp}-\mathrm{Y} 3, \operatorname{Imp}-\mathrm{Y} 4, \ldots, \operatorname{ImpYn})$
12) Using this regression equation, impute the missing values for Y 2 .
13) Repeat until cycle has been completed and impute the missing values for Yn .
14) Repeat another cycle (until C cycles have been completed). For our purposes, we set $\mathrm{C}=5$.

Diagram 3 contains the graphical representation of the imputation process in each of the C cycles mentioned above. The software requires information on whether the imputation of each of the variables is going to be MIXED or CONTINUOUS as we explain next, according to the type of non-response that is obtained on a particular question.
[Diagram 3 about here]

1) Non-response on whether the person receives a particular source of income or owns an asset. In this case, the software imputes first if the value amount should be $=0$ or $>0$. If the value is $>0$, then an amount is imputed. This type of imputation is called MIXED by the IVEWare software.
2) Non-response on the amount or value when the person states that he/she DOES receive the particular source of income or owns the type of asset. Here the imputation value will be $>0$, and the software denotes this type as CONTINUOUS. For CONTINUOUS imputation, if there is a bracket answer given, then the ranges provided by the brackets constrain the imputed value. If no bracket information is available, then the imputed variable can take any value among the exact-value answers given by other respondents in the sample.

The IVEware programs used in the imputation procedure are included in Appendix B.

## Comparison of Variables With- and Without-Imputed Values

Tables 10 contain the distribution of the original and imputed variables, for a select group of survey items. The tables show that the imputed values tend to shift the distributions to the right, as compared to the original variables containing missing values. Part of the reason for this shift, is that most non-response occurred among the cases that declared that the individual receives income from such source. Even among the cases that are greater than zero though, the imputation seems to be shifting the distribution rightwards. That is, most missing values are imputed a value towards the high end of the distribution. For example, in Table 10.1 for the variable of own earned income, the original variable contained $75 \%$ of the cases with 0 , whereas the imputed variable contains $74 \%$ of cases with 0 value. Among those with earned income greater than zero, the original variable contained $40 \%$ of the cases in the range of values 1-1,760, whereas the imputed variable contains $38.7 \%$ of the cases in such range. Of the values $>0$, the original variable contains $20 \%$ of cases with values $>4,500$, whereas the imputed variable contains $22.6 \%$ of the cases in such range. For this particular variable, this pattern could be due to the fact that most non-response occurred among men, who tend to report higher earned income than women.

Table 10.12 presents the distribution for the variable net value of other assets. The original and imputed variables contain similar percentages of cases with a value of zero ( $41 \%$ of the cases for both variables). In the original variable, however, conditional on having a value $>0,19 \%$ of the cases were in the range $>40,000$ pesos. This is compared to $36 \%$ of the cases in the imputed variable. For this particular variable, the pattern of imputation could be due to the fact that non-
response was higher among women than men, and the non-response was higher for individuals with more years of education compared to those with few years (see Table 8).
[Tables 10 about here]
The descriptive statistics for all the variables that were imputed is presented in Appendix C. The description includes the number of cases, mean, standard deviation, minimum and maximum values, including and excluding the observations with value zero, for each derived variable followed by the corresponding imputed variable.

## Construction of the Variables for Total Income at the Individual Level and Net Worth at the Household Level.

The MHAS 2001 data files contain all the variables on amounts that were derived (with missing values) and the corresponding imputed variables (containing no missing values) for each observation. In the files, we also include a calculated value of total income and value of net worth at the individual and household level, respectively, after adding all the items needed to obtain total income and assets. Transformations were made to obtain all income in monthly terms. In the case of individuals who have no spouse or partner residing in the same household, we simply add all the variables that represent in-flows and subtract those measuring out-flows to calculate total income. For the case of total net worth, we add the gross value of all assets and subtract debts. Tables 11 present the list of variables that were used to calculate the total income and net worth variables, and whether each variable was added or subtracted for these calculations.

## [Table 11 about here]

In the case of couples, the variables received different treatment. When a particular income source was asked referring to the two members of a couple, such as the bank accounts, the value amount was divided by two and assigned to each member of the couple. The variables that received such treatment are listed as "joint" in Tables 11. To determine whether an income source that was "joint" was to be divided by two or by one, we constructed the variable NUMBER (also included in the data files). This variable takes the value 1 if there is no information on the spouse-income variables, i.e. all information refers to one person; and takes the value 2 if there was information on the spouse-income variables in Section K.

The total net worth of the individual (or couple) was obtained by adding the reported gross value of all assets and deducting debts. This total is provided at the individual (or couple) level.

The survey instrument was designed so that the information on income and assets is asked only of one of two persons in a couple. Thus in order to assign the corresponding income to each of two persons in a couple household, we had to determine whom the questions on own-income and on spouse's income refer to ${ }^{6}$. We constructed three variables that are included in the data files:

[^3]
## 1) CLAVE1

This variable is constructed based on the individual responses to the question J1 (who provided the information on Section J and K), and it was constructed to measure who answered own-income questions, or (in the case of a proxy interview) whom the questions refer to.

The variable equals 1 if the own-income questions refer to the Sampled person ( $\mathrm{J} 1=1$ ); equals 2 if the own-income questions refer to the Spouse of the sampled person $(J 1=2)$. The variable equals 9 if it was not possible to determine this information on the basis of the answer to the question J 1 ( $\mathrm{J} 1=3$ or $\mathrm{J} 1=$.).

## 2) CLAVE2

This variable is based on the values of CLAVE1. It was constructed to measure about whom the questions on spouse-income refer to.

The variable equals 1 if the spouse-income questions refer to the sampled person ; equals 2 if the spouse-income questions refer to the spouse of the sampled person; equals 9 when it was not possible to determine; and equals 5 when there is no spouse, that is, the variables on spouse-income should contain missing values because there is no spouse.
3) CLAVE3

This variable is constructed to obtain an unambiguous answer on who the information on own income refers to, when there is a couple in the household. To construct this variable, we used three different criteria. First, we take the answer to J 1 as the first possible answer. If there is no information on J 1 , then we use the information provided by the Control of Interview sheets in the questionnaire, which were used to guide the interviewers through Sections J and K in cases of couple households. Depending on whether the information of Sections J and K was provided in the first or second interview according to the variable INTER, and who of the two individuals in the couple completed such interviews, we assigned a value for the cases that had missing values in CLAVE1.

CLAVE3 equals 1 if the own-income variables refer to the sampled person in the household (the person with intra-household identifier variable PS3=1); and equals 2 if the own-income variables refer to the spouse of the sampled person (the individual with PS3=2).

The MHAS files contain the total income variable at the individual level, and net worth at the individual/couple level constructed as mentioned above. MHAS users can easily obtain the total income of a couple by adding the corresponding totals for the two individuals in the couple.

Tables 12 present the distribution of the total individual income and total (individual or couple) net worth variables as they are obtained with- and without- imputation of missing values. The distributions are presented in absolute numbers and in percentage terms. The relative numbers present the proportion of cases that are $<=0$; and among the cases that are $>0$, the percentage of cases in each range of values. The tables indicate first, that the gain in available information through the use of imputation is substantial. The number of cases for which a total income can be obtained without imputation is 12,619 , compared to a total of 15,312 individuals when we use imputations. For the case of household (individual or couple) total net worth, the number of cases is 4,887 without imputed values and 9,811 with imputed values.

Second, the tables show that the distribution of both total income and total net worth is shifted towards the right with the imputed values. As was mentioned before, this is because prior to imputing, the cases with zero value represent a higher share of the total cases compared to their numerical relative importance after imputing. Another way of explaining this pattern is: a large proportion of the cases that have missing values and thus are imputed, fall in the values that are imputed to be $>0$. This is consistent with our initial results (see Tables 1 and 2), in which the vast majority of the non-response is found among those that declare that they receive a given source of income or own a certain type of asset but provided no value or amount (that is, the value is known to be positive but missing). For the total individual income, $25 \%$ of the cases have value $=0$ without imputation, compared to $23 \%$ after imputation. Around $20 \%$ of the observations with values $>0$ are found in the highest range ( $>4,350$ pesos) without imputation, compared to $27.5 \%$ with imputations. Similarly, for total net worth, $13 \%$ of the cases have value $<=0$ without imputations, compared to $8.6 \%$ of cases with imputations. Of those with positive value for net worth, $20 \%$ report a value in the highest range ( 355,000 or more pesos) prior to imputing, compared to $35 \%$ of the cases after imputations.
[Table 12 about here]
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## DIAGRAM 1

Example of Bracket Questions used in MHAS


# Diagram 2. Imputation Procedure for Missing Values using a Sequence of Regression Models(*) 


(*) SAS-based IVEW are, distributed by ISR, University of Michigan.

## Diagram 3. Procedure for Construction of an Imputed Variable (ImAm).



## Table 1. <br> MHAS/ENASEM 2001

Total (Individual or Couple) Income Components: Distribution of Responses by Type

(*) Numbers in parentheses are the corresponding question numbers in the MHAS/ENASEM questionnaire.

Table 2. MHAS/ENASEM 2001
Total (Individual or Couple) Net Worth Components -- Distribution of Reponses by Type.


Notes:
1/ The numbers in parentheses refer to the question number in the MHAS/ENASEM questionnaire.
2/ K42 was not followed by brackets if non-response was provided.

## Table 3 MHAS/ENASEM 2001

Number of Income Sources Received by Number of Exact-Responses to Amount

| No. of Sources with Exact Response | No. of Income Sources Received |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| 0 | 100.00 | 13.09 | 6.68 | 3.25 | 2.52 | 4.12 | 3.50 | 6.90 | 5.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 1 |  | 86.91 | 9.52 | 4.75 | 2.33 | 2.58 | 3.50 | 3.45 | 12.00 | 2.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 2 |  |  | 83.80 | 12.18 | 4.66 | 2.06 | 0.96 | 1.97 | 2.67 | 8.89 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 3 |  |  |  | 79.83 | 10.25 | 4.98 | 2.55 | 0.99 | 4.00 | 2.22 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  | 80.24 | 11.17 | 5.41 | 3.94 | 1.33 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 75.09 | 7.96 | 5.42 | 2.67 | 2.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 76.11 | 7.88 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 69.46 | 13.33 | 8.89 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50.67 | 6.67 | 0.00 | 16.67 | 0.00 |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 68.89 | 15.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65.00 | 16.67 | 0.00 |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 66.67 | 0.00 |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.00 |  |
|  | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | TOTAL |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,063 | 2,246 | 2,605 | 1,601 | 1,073 | 582 | 314 | 203 | 75 | 45 | 20 | 6 | 1 | 9,834 |
| \% row | 10.8\% | 22.8\% | 26.5\% | 16.3\% | 10.9\% | 5.9\% | 3.2\% | 2.1\% | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% |

## Table 4. MHAS/ENASEM 2001

Number of Income Sources Received by Number of Exact- or Bracket-Responses to the Amount

| No. of Sources with Exact or Bracketed Response | No. of Income Sources Received |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| 0 | 100.00 | 6.86 | 3.03 | 1.69 | 1.49 | 2.41 | 2.23 | 5.91 | 1.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 1 |  | 93.14 | 4.64 | 1.94 | 0.75 | 1.03 | 2.23 | 0.49 | 10.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 2 |  |  | 92.32 | 5.75 | 2.14 | 1.37 | 0.32 | 2.46 | 1.33 | 4.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 3 |  |  |  | 90.63 | 5.41 | 2.92 | 1.59 | 0.49 | 2.67 | 0.00 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  | 90.21 | 5.50 | 2.55 | 0.99 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 86.77 | 4.46 | 1.97 | 2.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 86.62 | 5.42 | 2.67 | 2.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 82.27 | 9.33 | 2.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 69.33 | 4.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 86.67 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 85.00 | 33.33 | 0.00 |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 66.67 | 0.00 |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.00 |  |
|  | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | TOTAL |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,063 | 2,246 | 2,605 | 1,601 | 1,073 | 582 | 314 | 203 | 75 | 45 | 20 | 6 | 1 | 9,834 |
| \% row | 10.8\% | 22.8\% | 26.5\% | 16.3\% | 10.9\% | 5.9\% | 3.2\% | 2.1\% | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% |

Table 5. MHAS/ENASEM 2001
Number of Assets Owned by Number of Exact-Responses to the Value

| No. of Assets with Exact Response | No. of Assets Owned |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 10 |  |
| 0 | 100.0 | 43.6 | 13.2 | 4.8 | 2.9 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 1 |  | 56.4 | 26.4 | 13.4 | 5.4 | 3.6 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 2 |  |  | 60.4 | 24.3 | 14.4 | 7.6 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 3 |  |  |  | 57.5 | 25.9 | 12.6 | 10.2 | 4.3 | 7.1 | 0.0 |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  | 51.5 | 21.6 | 15.0 | 13.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 54.2 | 22.8 | 19.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 44.9 | 13.0 | 28.6 | 100.0 |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 45.7 | 21.4 | 0.0 |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28.6 | 0.0 |  |
|  | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | TOTAL |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ | 956 | 2,757 | 2,794 | 1,782 | 877 | 421 | 167 | 46 | 14 | 1 | 9,815 |
| \% row | 9.7\% | 28.1\% | 28.5\% | 18.2\% | 8.9\% | 4.3\% | 1.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% |

Table 6. MHAS/ENASEM 2001
Number of Assets Owned by Number of Exact- or Bracket-Responses to Value

| No. of Assets with Exact or Bracketed Response | No. of Assets Owned |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 10 |  |
| 0 | 100.0 | 16.3 | 3.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 1 |  | 83.7 | 9.1 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 2 |  |  | 87.2 | 9.4 | 2.4 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 3 |  |  |  | 87.1 | 11.9 | 3.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  | 84.2 | 9.5 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 0.0 |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 86.2 | 14.4 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 77.2 | 10.9 | 7.1 | 0.0 |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 80.4 | 14.3 | 0.0 |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 71.4 | 0.0 |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0 |  |
|  | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | TOTAL |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ | 956 | 2,757 | 2,794 | 1,782 | 877 | 421 | 167 | 46 | 14 | 1 | 9,815 |
| \%row | 9.7\% | 28.1\% | 28.5\% | 18.2\% | 8.9\% | 4.3\% | 1.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% |

Table 7. MHAS/ENASEM 2001
Percent of Non-Response in Select Income Components by Main Characteristics of the Respondent (1/)

|  |  |  |  | Select Income Components |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Notes: (1/) Non-Response defined as not-providing an exact value or a bracketed value. Respondents who declared that they do not receive a particular source of income, are coded as having provided an exact value of zero.

Table 8. MHAS/ENASEM 2001
Percent of Non-Response in Select Net Worth Components by Main Characteristics of the Respondent


Notes: (1) Non-Response defined as not-providing an exact value or a bracketed value. Respondents who declared that they
do not own a particular type of asset, are coded as having declared an exact value of zero.
(2) The question on value of other-assets was not followed by brackets if a non-response was provided.

## TABLE 9. GROUPS OF VARIABLES AND NAMES USED IN THE IMPUTATION PROCEDURE

GROUP 1. Respondent's Total Income Components (Own or Joint Income)

|  |  | Question | Derived | Imputed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Variable | Variable |  |  |  |
| 1 | Own earned income-1 | Number | K44 | am44 |
| 2 | Own earned income-2 | K45 | am45 | imam44 |
| 3 | Own earned income-3 | K47 | am47 | imam47 |
| 4 | Own earned income-4 | K48 | am48 | imam48 |
| 5 | Business income-1 | K10_1 | am10_1 | imam10_1 |
| 6 | Business income-2 | K10_2 | am10_2 | imam10_2 |
| 7 | Business expenditures-1 | K13_1 | am13_1 | imam13_1 |
| 8 | Business expenditures-2 | K13_2 | am13_2 | imam13_2 |
| 9 | Family help income_1 | G18_1 | am18_1 | imam18_1 |
| 10 | Family help income_2 | G18_2 | am18_2 | imam18_2 |
| 11 | Family help income_3 | G18_3 | am18_3 | imam18_3 |
| 12 | Family help income_4 | G18_4 | am18_4 | imam18_4 |
| 13 | Family help income_5 | G18_5 | am18_5 | imam18_5 |
| 14 | Family help income_6 | G18_6 | am18_6 | imam18_6 |
| 15 | Family help income_7 | G18_7 | am18_7 | imam18_7 |
| 16 | Property rent income-1 | K24_1 | am24_1 | imam24_1 |
| 17 | Property rent income-2 | K24_2 | am24_2 | imam24_2 |
| 18 | Property expeditures-1 | K27_1 | am27_1 | imam27_1 |
| 19 | Property expeditures-2 | K27_2 | am27_2 | imam27_2 |
| 20 | Capital assets income-1 | K33_1 | am33_1 | imam33_1 |
| 21 | Capital assets income-2 | K33_2 | am33_2 | imam33_2 |
| 22 | Capital assets income-3 | K33_3 | am33_3 | imam33_3 |
| 23 | Own pension income -retirement | K55a | am55a | imam55a |
| 24 | Own pension income -widow | K55b | am55b | imam55b |
| 25 | Own pension income -disability | K55c | am55c | imam55c |
| 26 | Own other pension income | K55d | am55d | imam55d |
| 27 | Own transfer income from institutions | K76a | am76a | imam76a |
| 28 | Own transfer income from individuals | am76b | imam76b |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

GROUP 2. Spouse's Total Income Components

|  | Income Component | Question <br> Number | Derived <br> Variable | Imputed <br> Variable |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Spouse's earned income-1 | K50 | am50 | imam50 |
| 2 | Spouse's earned income-2 | K51 | am51 | imam51 |
| 3 | Spouse's earned income-3 | K53 | am53 | imam53 |
| 4 | Spouse's earned income-4 | K54 | am54 | imam54 |
| 5 | Spouse's pension income - retirement | K61a | am61a | imam61a |
| 6 | Spouse's pension income - widow | K61b | am61b | imam61b |
| 7 | Spouse's pension income - disability | K61c | am61c | imam61c |
| 8 | Spouse's other pension income | K61d | am61d | imam61d |
| 9 | Spouse's transfer income from institutions | K79a | am79a | imam79a |
| 10 | Spouse's transfer income from individuals | K79b | am79b | imam79b |

GROUP 3. Components of Individual (or Couple) Total Net Worth and Household Consumption

|  | Concept | Question Number | Derived <br> Variable | Imputed <br> Variable |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Other debts | K82 | am82 | imam82 |
| 2 | Total cost household comsumption | K85 | am85 | imam85 |
| 3 | Gross value houses/apartments | J14 | amj14 | imamj14 |
| 4 | Total debt mortgages/loans | J18 | amj18 | imamj18 |
| 5 | Total debt houses/apartments | J20 | amj20 | imamj20 |
| 6 | Net value other houses/apartments | J26 | amj26 | imamj26 |
| 7 | Gross value vehicles | K36 | amk36 | imamk36 |
| 8 | Total debt vehicles | K37 | amk37 | imamk37 |
| 9 | Net value other assets | K42 | amk42 | imamk42 |
| 10 | Total debt other real estate properties_1 | K17_1 | amk17_1 | imamk17_1 |
| 11 | Total debt other real estate properties_2 | K17_2 | amk17_2 | imamk17_2 |
| 12 | Gross value other real estate properties_1 | K22_1 | amk22_1 | imamk22_1 |
| 13 | Gross value other real estate properties_2 | K22_2 | amk22_2 | imamk22_2 |
| 14 | Net value capital assets_1 | K29a | amk29a | imamk29a |
| 15 | Net value capital assets_2 | K29b | amk29b | imamk29b |
| 16 | Net value capital assets_3 | K29c | amk29c | imamk29c |
| 17 | Total debt business_1 | K3_1 | amk3_1 | imamk3_1 |
| 18 | Total debt business_2 | K3_2 | amk3_2 | imamk3_2 |
| 19 | Gross value business_1 | K8_1 | amk8_1 | imamk8_1 |
| 20 | Gross value business_2 | K8_2 | amk8_2 | imamk8_2 |

GROUP 4. Hospitalizations and other utilization of services - D6, D9

|  | Variable Names | Question <br> Number | Constructed <br> Variable | Imputed <br> Variable |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Total hospitalization costs | D6 | amd6 | imamd6 |
| 2 | Total "curandero" (folkhealer) costs | D9_1 | amd9_1 | imamd9_1 |
| 3 | Total homeopath costs | D9_2 | amd9_2 | imamd9_2 |
| 4 | Total dentist costs | D9_3 | amd9_3 | imamd9_3 |
| 5 | Total outpatient procedure costs | D9_4 | amd9_4 | imamd9_4 |
| 6 | Total medical visits costs | D9_5 | amd9_5 | imamd9_5 |

$\qquad$

GROUP 5. Household Monthly Rent - J12

|  | Variable Names | Question <br> Number | Derived <br> Variable | Imputed <br> Variable |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Total cost of monthly rent | $J 12$ | amj12 | imamj12 |

$\qquad$

GROUP 6. Help Given - G6

|  | Variable Names | Question <br> Number | Derived <br> Variable | Imputed <br> Variable |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Financial assistance given_1 | G6_1 | am6_1 | imam6_1 |
| 2 | Financial assistance given_2 | G6_2 | am6_2 | imam6_2 |
| 3 | Financial assistance given_3 | G6_3 | am6_3 | imam6_3 |
| 4 | Financial assistance given_4 | G6_4 | am6_4 | imam6_4 |
| 5 | Financial assistance given_5 | G6_5 | am6_5 | imam6_5 |
| 6 | Financial assistance given_6 | G6_6 | am6_6 | imam6_6 |
| 7 | Financial assistance given_7 | G6_7 | am6_7 | imam6_7 |

TABLES 10. Distribution of Select Derived and Imputed Variables by

## Range of Amount.

## SELECT INCOME SOURCES

Table 10.1
Own earned Income-1

| Amount | Derived Variable |  | Imputed Variable |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freq. | $\%$ | Freq. | $\%$ |
| $1-1000$ | 515 | 21.6 | 539 | 21.0 |
| $1,001-1,760$ | 438 | 18.4 | 453 | 17.7 |
| $1,761-2,800$ | 519 | 21.8 | 531 | 20.7 |
| $2,801-4,500$ | 438 | 18.4 | 463 | 18.1 |
| $>4,500$ | 472 | 19.8 | 579 | 22.6 |
| Sub-total | 2,382 | 100.0 | 2,565 | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 7,246 | 75.3 | 7,246 | 73.9 |
| Total | 9,628 |  | 9,811 |  |

Table 10.2
Spouse's earned income-1

| Amount | Original Variable |  | Imputed Variable |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freq. | $\%$ | Freq. | $\%$ |
| $1-1,200$ | 387 | 21.8 | 412 | 20.3 |
| $1,201-2,000$ | 423 | 23.8 | 443 | 21.8 |
| $2,001-3,000$ | 348 | 19.6 | 376 | 18.5 |
| $3,001-5,000$ | 317 | 17.8 | 372 | 18.3 |
| $>5,000$ | 301 | 16.9 | 430 | 21.2 |
| Sub-total | 1,776 | 100.0 | 2,033 | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 3,480 | 66.2 | 3,480 | 63.1 |
| Total | 5,256 |  | 5,513 |  |

Table 10.3
Business income-1

| Amount | Derived Variable |  | Imputed Variable |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freq. | $\%$ | Freq. | $\%$ |
| $1-800$ | 357 | 20.5 | 364 | 17.6 |
| $801-2,000$ | 437 | 25.1 | 449 | 21.6 |
| $2,001-4,000$ | 292 | 16.7 | 309 | 14.9 |
| $4,001-9,000$ | 317 | 18.2 | 363 | 17.5 |
| $>9,000$ | 341 | 19.6 | 589 | 28.4 |
| Sub-total | 1,744 | 100.0 | 2,074 | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 7,675 | 81.5 | 7,737 | 78.9 |
| Total | 9,419 |  | 9,811 |  |

Table 10.4
Business expenditures-1

| Amount | Derived Variable |  | Imputed Variable |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freq. | \% | Freq. | \% |
| 1-350 | 385 | 20.5 | 386 | 17.9 |
| 351-1,000 | 498 | 26.5 | 502 | 23.3 |
| 1,001-2,000 | 310 | 16.5 | 319 | 14.8 |
| 2,001-5,000 | 356 | 19.0 | 395 | 18.3 |
| >5,000 | 329 | 17.5 | 554 | 25.7 |
| Sub-total | 1,878 | 100.0 | 2,156 | 100.0 |
| 0 | 7,531 | 80.0 | 7,655 | 78.0 |
| Total | 9,409 |  | 9,811 |  |
| Table 10.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Own pension income retirement |  |  |  |  |
| Amount | Derived |  | Imput |  |
|  | Freq. | \% | Freq. | \% |
| 1-1,200 | 366 | 35.9 | 381 | 35.8 |
| 1,201-2,999 | 339 | 33.3 | 345 | 32.4 |
| >=3,000 | 314 | 30.8 | 338 | 31.8 |
| Sub-total | 1,019 | 100.0 | 1,064 | 100.0 |
| 0 | 8,747 | 89.6 | 8,747 | 89.2 |
| Total | 9,766 |  | 9,811 |  |

Table 10.6
Family help income-1

| Amount | Derived Variable |  | Imputed Variable |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freq. | $\%$ | Freq. |  |
| $1-4,800$ | 607 | 20.7 | 671 | 19.9 |
| $4,801-9,600$ | 595 | 20.3 | 609 | 18.1 |
| $9,601-19,000$ | 558 | 19.1 | 568 | 16.9 |
| $19,001-31,200$ | 657 | 22.4 | 678 | 20.1 |
| $>31,200$ | 511 | 17.5 | 839 | 24.9 |
| Sub-total | 2,928 | 100.0 | 3,365 | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 6,387 | 68.6 | 6,469 | 65.8 |

Table 10.7
Family help income-2

| Amount | Derived Variable |  | Imputed Variable |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freq. | \% | Freq. | \% |
| 1-4,750 | 337 | 18.2 | 386 | 18.0 |
| 4,751-7,200 | 447 | 24.1 | 448 | 20.9 |
| 7,201-12,000 | 336 | 18.1 | 338 | 15.8 |
| 12,001-24,000 | 406 | 21.9 | 409 | 19.1 |
| >24,000 | 326 | 17.6 | 565 | 26.3 |
| Sub-total | 1,852 | 100.0 | 2,146 | 100.0 |
| 0 | 7,688 | 80.6 | 7,688 | 78.2 |
| Total | 9,540 |  | 9,834 |  |

## SELECT NET WORTH COMPONENTS

Table 10.8
Gross value houses

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount | Derived Variable |  | Imputed Variable |  |
|  | Freq. | $\%$ | Freq. | $\%$ |
| $1-50,000$ | 1,053 | 22.6 | 1,254 | 16.8 |
| $50,001-100,000$ | 1,022 | 22.0 | 1,468 | 19.6 |
| $100,001-200,000$ | 1,177 | 25.3 | 1,648 | 22.1 |
| $200,001-300,000$ | 596 | 12.8 | 1,070 | 14.3 |
| $>300,000$ | 804 | 17.3 | 2,033 | 27.2 |
| Sub-total | 4,652 | 100.0 | 7,474 | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 2,329 | 33.4 | 2,342 | 23.9 |
| Total | 6,981 |  | 9,815 |  |

Table 10.9
Gross value business-1

| Amount | Derived Variable |  | Imputed Variable |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freq. | $\%$ | Freq. | $\%$ |
| $1-2,000$ | 328 | 20.1 | 347 | 13.2 |
| $2,001-12,000$ | 331 | 20.3 | 391 | 14.8 |
| $12,001-42,000$ | 323 | 19.8 | 459 | 17.4 |
| $42,001-120,000$ | 327 | 20.0 | 519 | 19.7 |
| $>120,000$ | 324 | 19.8 | 920 | 34.9 |
| Sub-total | 1,633 | 100.0 | 2,631 | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 7,172 | 81.5 | 7,175 | 73.1 |
| Total | 8,805 |  | 9,811 |  |

Table 10.10
Net value capital assets

| Amount | Derived Variable |  | Imputed Variable |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freq. | $\%$ | Freq. | $\%$ |
| $1-5,000$ | 159 | 21.0 | 179 | 16.7 |
| $5,001-12,000$ | 155 | 20.4 | 193 | 18.0 |
| $12,001-30,000$ | 180 | 23.7 | 228 | 21.3 |
| $30,001-60,000$ | 132 | 17.4 | 190 | 17.7 |
| $>60,000$ | 133 | 17.5 | 281 | 26.2 |
| Sub-total | 759 | 100.0 | 1,071 | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 8,740 | 92.0 | 8,740 | 89.1 |
| Total | 9,499 |  | 9,811 |  |

Table 10.11
Gross value vehicles

| Amount | Derived Variable |  | Imputed Variable |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freq. | $\%$ | Freq. | $\%$ |
| $1-12,000$ | 451 | 20.6 | 477 | 18.7 |
| $12,001-22,000$ | 464 | 21.2 | 484 | 19.0 |
| $22,001-36,000$ | 409 | 18.7 | 435 | 17.1 |
| $36,001-75,000$ | 464 | 21.2 | 555 | 21.8 |
| $>75,000$ | 402 | 18.4 | 597 | 23.4 |
| Sub-total | 2,190 | 100.0 | 2,548 | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 7,242 | 76.8 | 7,263 | 74.0 |
| Total | 9,432 |  | 9,811 |  |

Table 10.12
Net value other assets

| Amount | Derived Variable |  | Imputed Variable |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freq. | $\%$ | Freq. | $\%$ |
| $1-3,000$ | 1,134 | 26.2 | 1,147 | 19.8 |
| $3,001-7,000$ | 680 | 15.7 | 700 | 12.1 |
| $7,001-15000$ | 954 | 22.0 | 999 | 17.2 |
| $15,001-40,000$ | 751 | 17.3 | 886 | 15.3 |
| $>40,000$ | 817 | 18.8 | 2,070 | 35.7 |
| Sub-total | 4,336 | 100.0 | 5,791 | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 3,006 | 40.9 | 4,009 | 41.0 |
| Total | 7,342 |  | 9,811 |  |

Tables 11. Variables Used in the Calculation of Total Income and Total Net Worth.

Table 11.1 Total (Individual or Couple) Income Components
List of variables according to treatment given for the calculation of total income.

| Variable Name | Question | Derived | Imputed | Treatment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Number | Variable | Variable |  |
| Added variables |  |  |  |  |
| Own earned income-1 | K44 | am44 | imam44 | individual |
| Own earned income-2 | K45 | am45 | imam45 | individual |
| Own earned income-3 | K47 | am47 | imam47 | individual |
| Own earned income-4 | K48 | am48 | imam48 | individual |
| Business income-1 | K10_1 | am10_1 | imam10_1 | joint |
| Business income-2 | K10_2 | am10_2 | imam10_2 | joint |
| Family help income_1 | G18_1 | am18_1 | imam18_1 | joint |
| Family help income_2 | G18_2 | am18_2 | imam18_2 | joint |
| Family help income_3 | G18_3 | am18_3 | imam18_3 | joint |
| Family help income_4 | G18_4 | am18_4 | imam18_4 | joint |
| Family help income_5 | G18_5 | am18_5 | imam18_5 | joint |
| Family help income_6 | G18_6 | am18_6 | imam18_6 | joint |
| Family help income_7 | G18_7 | am18_7 | imam18_7 | joint |
| Property rent income-1 | K24_1 | am24_1 | imam24_1 | joint |
| Property rent income-2 | K24_2 | am24_2 | imam24_2 | joint |
| Capital assets income-1 | K33_1 | am33_1 | imam33_1 | joint |
| Capital assets income-2 | K27_1 | K33_2 | am33_2 | imam33_2 |

Table 11.2 Total (Individual or Couple) Net Worth Components.
List of variables according to treatment given for the calculation of total net worth.

| Variable Name | Question <br> Number | Derived <br> Variable | Imputed <br> Variable | Treatment |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Added variables |  |  |  |  |
| Gross value houses/apartments | J14 | amj14 | imamj14 | joint |
| Net value other houses/apartments | J26 | amj26 | imamj26 | joint |
| Gross value vehicles | K36 | amk36 | imamk36 | joint |
| Net value other assets | K42 | amk42 | imamk42 | joint |
| Gross value other real estate properties_1 | K22_1 | amk22_1 | imamk22_1 | joint |
| Gross value other real estate properties_2 | K22_2 | amk22_2 | imamk22_2 | joint |
| Net value capital assets_1 | K29a | amk29a | imamk29a | joint |
| Net value capital assets_2 | K29b | amk29b | imamk29b | joint |
| Net value capital assets_3 | K29c | amk29c | imamk29c | joint |
| Gross value business_1 | K8_1 | amk8_1 | imamk8_1 | joint |
| Gross value business_2 |  | amk8_2 | imamk8_2 | joint |
| Subtracted variables |  |  |  |  |
| Other debts | K82 | am82 | amj20 | imam82 |

TABLES 12. Distribution of Income and Assets (Derived and Imputed) by Range of Amount

Table 12.1
Total Individual Income

| Amount | Derived Variable |  | Imputed Variable |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freq. | $\%$ | Freq. | $\%$ |
| $1-599$ | 1,825 | 19.18 | 2,030 | 17.19 |
| $600-1,299$ | 1,974 | 20.74 | 2,148 | 18.19 |
| $1,300-2,314$ | 1,912 | 20.09 | 2,128 | 18.02 |
| $2,315-4,349$ | 1,909 | 20.06 | 2,256 | 19.11 |
| $>=4,350$ | 1,896 | 19.92 | 3,245 | 27.48 |
| Sub-total | 9,516 | 100.00 | 11,807 | 100.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $<=0$ | 3,103 | 24.59 | 3,505 | 22.89 |
| Total | 12,619 |  | 15,312 |  |

Table 12.2
Total (Individual or Couple) Net Worth

| Amount | Derived Variable |  | Imputed Variable |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freq. | $\%$ | Freq. | $\%$ |
| $1-29,999$ | 831 | 19.61 | 988 | 11.02 |
| $30,000-89,999$ | 838 | 19.77 | 1,320 | 14.72 |
| $90,000-187,999$ | 873 | 20.60 | 1,645 | 18.34 |
| $188,000-354,999$ | 843 | 19.89 | 1,913 | 21.33 |
| $>=355,000$ | 853 | 20.13 | 3,103 | 34.60 |
| Sub-total | 4,238 | 100.00 | 8,969 | 100.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $<=0$ | 649 | 13.28 | 842 | 8.58 |
| Total | 4,887 |  | 9,811 |  |

## Appendix A

## Appendix A. Distribution of Reponses by Amount and Type of Response. All Imputed Variables



| Appendix A.1.2 MHAS/ENASEM 2001 <br> Own earned income-2 - Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount Bracket |  | Total | Column | Actual Reports |  | Bracket Reports |  |
|  |  | n | \% | n | \%Row | n | \%Row |
| \$0 | \$0 | 8652 | 88.19 | 8652 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1 | \$1,000 | 290 | 2.96 | 281 | 96.90 | 9 | 3.10 |
| \$1,001 | \$4,000 | 425 | 4.33 | 419 | 98.59 | 6 | 1.41 |
| \$4,001 | \$8,000 | 193 | 1.97 | 186 | 96.37 | 7 | 3.63 |
| \$8,001 | $\infty$ | 211 | 2.15 | 209 | 99.05 | 2 | 0.95 |
| \$4,001 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1 | \$4,000 | 4 | 0.04 |  |  | 4 | 100.00 |
| \$1 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| DK/NR |  | 36 | 0.37 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 9811 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |


| Appendix A.1.3 MHAS/ENASEM 2001 <br> Own earned income-3 - Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount Bracket |  | Total | Column | Actual Reports |  | Bracket Reports |  |
|  |  | n | \% | n | \%Row | n | \%Row |
| \$0 | \$0 | 9715 | 99.02 | 9715 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1 | \$1,000 | 37 | 0.38 | 37 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1,001 | \$4,000 | 41 | 0.42 | 39 | 95.12 | 2 | 4.88 |
| \$4,001 | \$8,000 | 9 | 0.09 | 8 | 88.89 | 1 | 11.11 |
| \$8,001 | $\infty$ | 4 | 0.04 | 3 | 75.00 | 1 | 25.00 |
| \$4,001 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1 | \$4,000 | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| DK/NR |  | 5 | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 9811 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |

Appendix A.1.4 MHAS/ENASEM 2001
Own earned income-4 - Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response

| Amount Bracket |  | Total <br> n | Column <br> \% | Actual Reports |  | Bracket Reports |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | n | \%Row | n | \%Row |
| \$0 | \$0 | 9783 | 99.71 | 9783 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1 | \$1,000 | 8 | 0.08 | 7 | 87.50 | 1 | 12.50 |
| \$1,001 | \$4,000 | 8 | 0.08 | 8 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$4,001 | \$8,000 | 5 | 0.05 | 4 | 80.00 | 1 | 20.00 |
| \$8,001 | $\infty$ | 4 | 0.04 | 4 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$4,001 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1 | \$4,000 | 1 | 0.01 |  |  | 1 | 100.00 |
| \$1 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| DK/NR |  | 2 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 9811 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |


| Appendix A.1.5 MHAS/ENASEM 2001 <br> Spouse's earned income-1 - Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount Bracket |  | Total | Column | Actual Reports |  | Bracket Reports |  |
|  |  | n | \% | n | \%Row | n | \%Row |
| \$0 | \$0 | 3480 | 63.12 | 3480 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1 | \$1,000 | 286 | 5.19 | 273 | 95.45 | 13 | 4.55 |
| \$1,001 | \$4,000 | 1138 | 20.64 | 1096 | 96.31 | 42 | 3.69 |
| \$4,001 | \$8,000 | 299 | 5.42 | 267 | 89.30 | 32 | 10.70 |
| \$8,001 | $\infty$ | 164 | 2.97 | 140 | 85.37 | 24 | 14.63 |
| \$4,001 | $\infty$ | 10 | 0.18 |  |  | 10 | 100.00 |
| \$1 | \$4,000 | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| DK/NR |  | 136 | 2.47 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 5513 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |


| Appendix A.1.6 MHAS/ENASEM 2001 <br> Spouse's earned income-2 - Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount Bracket |  | Total | Column | Actual Reports |  | Bracket Reports |  |
|  |  | n | \% | n | \%Row | n | \%Row |
| \$0 | \$0 | 4628 | 83.95 | 4628 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1 | \$1,000 | 211 | 3.83 | 199 | 94.31 | 12 | 5.69 |
| \$1,001 | \$4,000 | 300 | 5.44 | 279 | 93.00 | 21 | 7.00 |
| \$4,001 | \$8,000 | 166 | 3.01 | 150 | 90.36 | 16 | 9.64 |
| \$8,001 | $\infty$ | 144 | 2.61 | 134 | 93.06 | 10 | 6.94 |
| \$4,001 | $\infty$ | 1 | 0.02 |  |  | 1 | 100.00 |
| \$1 | \$4,000 | 3 | 0.05 |  |  | 3 | 100.00 |
| \$1 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| DK/NR |  | 60 | 1.09 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 5513 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |


| Appendix A.1.7 MHAS/ENASEM 2001 <br> Spouse's earned income-3 - Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount Bracket |  | Total | Column | Actual Reports |  | Bracket Reports |  |
|  |  | n | \% | n | \%Row | n | \%Row |
| \$0 | \$0 | 5463 | 99.09 | 5463 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1 | \$1,000 | 21 | 0.38 | 20 | 95.24 | 1 | 4.76 |
| \$1,001 | \$4,000 | 15 | 0.27 | 14 | 93.33 | 1 | 6.67 |
| \$4,001 | \$8,000 | 5 | 0.09 | 4 | 80.00 | 1 | 20.00 |
| \$8,001 | $\infty$ | 3 | 0.05 | 2 | 66.67 | 1 | 33.33 |
| \$4,001 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1 | \$4,000 | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| DK/NR |  | 6 | 0.11 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 5513 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |

Appendix A.1.8 MHAS/ENASEM 2001
Spouse's earned income-4 - Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response

| Amount Bracket |  | Total <br> n | Column\% | Actual Reports |  | Bracket Reports |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | n | \%Row | n | \%Row |
| \$0 | \$0 | 5501 | 99.78 | 5501 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1 | \$1,000 | 1 | 0.02 | 1 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1,001 | \$4,000 | 3 | 0.05 | 1 | 33.33 | 2 | 66.67 |
| \$4,001 | \$8,000 | 3 | 0.05 | 2 | 66.67 | 1 | 33.33 |
| \$8,001 | $\infty$ | 3 | 0.05 | 2 | 66.67 | 1 | 33.33 |
| \$4,001 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1 | \$4,000 | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| DK/NR |  | 2 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 5513 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |

$\left.\begin{array}{cccccc}\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Appendix A.1.9 MHAS/ENASEM 2001 } \\ \text { Business income-1 }\end{array} \text { Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response }\end{array}\right]$

| Appendix A.1.11 MHAS/ENASEM 2001 <br> Business expenditures-1 - Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount Bracket |  | Total | Column | Actual Reports |  | Bracket Reports |  |
|  |  | n | \% | n | \%Row | n | \%Row |
| \$0 | \$0 | 7531 | 76.76 | 7531 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1 | \$10,000 | 1796 | 18.31 | 1705 | 94.93 | 91 | 5.07 |
| \$10,001 | \$30,000 | 152 | 1.55 | 116 | 76.32 | 36 | 23.68 |
| \$30,001 | \$100,000 | 63 | 0.64 | 40 | 63.49 | 23 | 36.51 |
| \$100,001 | $\infty$ | 25 | 0.25 | 17 | 68.00 | 8 | 32.00 |
| \$30,001 | $\infty$ | 5 | 0.05 |  |  | 5 | 100.00 |
| \$1 | \$30,000 | 4 | 0.04 |  |  | 4 | 100.00 |
| \$1 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| DK/NR |  | 235 | 2.40 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 9811 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |

Appendix A.1.12 MHAS/ENASEM 2001
Business expenditures-2 - Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response

| Amount Bracket |  | Total | Column <br> \% | Actual Reports |  | Bracket Reports |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | n |  | \%Row | n | \%Row |
| \$0 | \$0 |  | 9608 | 97.93 | 9608 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1 | \$10,000 | 164 | 1.67 | 159 | 96.95 | 5 | 3.05 |
| \$10,001 | \$30,000 | 12 | 0.12 | 9 | 75.00 | 3 | 25.00 |
| \$30,001 | \$100,000 | 5 | 0.05 | 1 | 20.00 | 4 | 80.00 |
| \$100,001 | $\infty$ | 1 | 0.01 | 1 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$30,001 | $\infty$ | 1 | 0.01 |  |  | 1 | 100.00 |
| \$1 | \$30,000 | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| DK/NR |  | 20 | 0.20 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 9811 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |


| Appendix A.1.13 MHAS/ENASEM 2001 <br> Property rent income-1 - Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount Bracket |  | Total | Column | Actual Reports |  | Bracket Reports |  |
|  |  | n | \% | n | \%Row | n | \%Row |
| \$0 | \$0 | 9465 | 96.47 | 9465 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1 | \$1,000 | 134 | 1.37 | 131 | 97.76 | 3 | 2.24 |
| \$1,001 | \$3,000 | 78 | 0.80 | 75 | 96.15 | 3 | 3.85 |
| \$3,001 | \$9,000 | 61 | 0.62 | 56 | 91.80 | 5 | 8.20 |
| \$9,001 | $\infty$ | 47 | 0.48 | 41 | 87.23 | 6 | 12.77 |
| \$3,001 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1 | \$3,000 | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| DK/NR |  | 26 | 0.27 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 9811 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |

Appendix A.1.14 MHAS/ENASEM 2001
Property rent income-2 - Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response

| Amount Bracket |  | Total | Column\% | Actual Reports |  | Bracket Reports |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | n | \%Row | n | \%Row |
| \$0 | \$0 | 9784 | 99.72 | 9784 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1 | \$1,000 | 4 | 0.04 | 4 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1,001 | \$3,000 | 2 | 0.02 | 1 | 50.00 | 1 | 50.00 |
| \$3,001 | \$9,000 | 7 | 0.07 | 7 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$9,001 | $\infty$ | 1 | 0.01 | 1 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$3,001 | $\infty$ | 1 | 0.01 |  |  | 1 | 100.00 |
| \$1 | \$3,000 | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| DK/NR |  | 12 | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 9811 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |





Appendix A.1.20 MHAS/ENASEM 2001
Own pension income retirement - Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response

| Amount Bracket |  | Total <br> n | Column \% | Actual Reports |  | Bracket Reports |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | n | \%Row | n | \%Row |
| \$0 | \$0 | 8747 | 89.16 | 8747 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1 | \$500 | 30 | 0.31 | 24 | 80.00 | 6 | 20.00 |
| \$501 | \$1,000 | 87 | 0.89 | 83 | 95.40 | 4 | 4.60 |
| \$1,001 | \$4,000 | 721 | 7.35 | 711 | 98.61 | 10 | 1.39 |
| \$4,001 | $\infty$ | 210 | 2.14 | 201 | 95.71 | 9 | 4.29 |
| \$1,001 | $\infty$ | 1 | 0.01 |  |  | 1 | 100.00 |
| \$1 | \$1,000 | 1 | 0.01 |  |  | 1 | 100.00 |
| \$1 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| DK/NR |  | 14 | 0.14 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 9811 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |



Appendix A.1.22 MHAS/ENASEM 2001
Own pension income widow - Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response

| Amount Bracket |  | Total | Column <br> \% | Actual Reports |  | Bracket Reports |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | n | \%Row | n | \%Row |
| \$0 | \$0 | 9317 | 94.96 | 9317 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1 | \$500 | 31 | 0.32 | 30 | 96.77 | 1 | 3.23 |
| \$501 | \$1,000 | 99 | 1.01 | 98 | 98.99 | 1 | 1.01 |
| \$1,001 | \$4,000 | 340 | 3.47 | 335 | 98.53 | 5 | 1.47 |
| \$4,001 | $\infty$ | 18 | 0.18 | 18 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1,001 | $\infty$ | 1 | 0.01 |  |  | 1 | 100.00 |
| \$1 | \$1,000 | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| DK/NR |  | 5 | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 9811 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |


| Appendix A.1.23 MHAS/ENASEM 2001 <br> Spouse's pension income widow - Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount Bracket |  | Total | Column | Actual Reports |  | Bracket Reports |  |
|  |  | n | \% | n | \%Row | n | \%Row |
| \$0 | \$0 | 5510 | 99.95 | 5510 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1 | \$500 | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$501 | \$1,000 | 1 | 0.02 | 1 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1,001 | \$4,000 | 1 | 0.02 | 1 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$4,001 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,001 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1 | \$1,000 | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| DK/NR |  | 1 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 5513 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |

Appendix A.1.24 MHAS/ENASEM 2001
Own pension income disability - Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response

| Amount Bracket |  | Total n | Column | Actual Reports |  | Bracket Reports |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | n | \%Row | n | \%Row |
| \$0 | \$0 | 9716 | 99.03 | 9716 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1 | \$500 | 11 | 0.11 | 11 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$501 | \$1,000 | 15 | 0.15 | 15 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1,001 | \$4,000 | 60 | 0.61 | 59 | 98.33 | 1 | 1.67 |
| \$4,001 | $\infty$ | 8 | 0.08 | 8 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1,001 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1 | \$1,000 | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| DK/NR |  | 1 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 9811 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |


| Appendix A.1.25 MHAS/ENASEM 2001 <br> Spouse's pension income disability - Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount Bracket |  | Total | Column | Actual Reports |  | Bracket Reports |  |
|  |  | n | \% | n | \%Row | n | \%Row |
| \$0 | \$0 | 5442 | 98.71 | 5442 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1 | \$500 | 9 | 0.16 | 9 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$501 | \$1,000 | 14 | 0.25 | 12 | 85.71 | 2 | 14.29 |
| \$1,001 | \$4,000 | 45 | 0.82 | 43 | 95.56 | 2 | 4.44 |
| \$4,001 | $\infty$ | 2 | 0.04 | 2 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1,001 | $\infty$ | 1 | 0.02 |  |  | 1 | 100.00 |
| \$1 | \$1,000 | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 5513 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Appendix A.1.26 MHAS/ENASEM 2001 <br> Own other pension income - Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amoun | racket | Total | Column | Actual Reports |  | Bracket Reports |  |
|  |  | n | \% | n | \%Row | n | \%Row |
| \$0 | \$0 | 9744 | 99.32 | 9744 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1 | \$500 | 12 | 0.12 | 12 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$501 | \$1,000 | 7 | 0.07 | 7 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1,001 | \$4,000 | 33 | 0.34 | 31 | 93.94 | 2 | 6.06 |
| \$4,001 | $\infty$ | 14 | 0.14 | 14 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1,001 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1 | \$1,000 | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| DK/NR |  | 1 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 9811 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |


| Appendix A.1.27 MHAS/ENASEM 2001 <br> Spouse's other pension income - Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount Bracket |  | Total | Column | Actual Reports |  | Bracket Reports |  |
|  |  | n | \% | n | \%Row | n | \%Row |
| \$0 | \$0 | 5496 | 99.71 | 5496 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1 | \$500 | 3 | 0.05 | 3 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$501 | \$1,000 | 2 | 0.04 | 2 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1,001 | \$4,000 | 7 | 0.13 | 7 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$4,001 | $\infty$ | 4 | 0.07 | 4 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1,001 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1 | \$1,000 | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| DK/NR |  | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 5512 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |

Appendix A.1.28.1 MHAS/ENASEM 2001
Family help income-1 - Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response

| Amount Bracket |  | Total <br> n | Column <br> \% | Actual Reports |  | Bracket Reports |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | n | \%Row | n | \%Row |
| \$0 | \$0 | 6387 | 64.95 | 6387 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1 | \$2,400 | 234 | 2.38 | 211 | 90.17 | 23 | 9.83 |
| \$2,401 | \$5,000 | 491 | 4.99 | 449 | 91.45 | 42 | 8.55 |
| \$5,001 | $\infty$ | 2341 | 23.81 | 2268 | 96.88 | 73 | 3.12 |
| \$1 | \$5,000 | 9 | 0.09 |  |  | 9 | 100.00 |
| \$1 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| DK/NR |  | 372 | 3.78 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 9834 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |

Appendix A.1.28.2 MHAS/ENASEM 2001
Family help income-2 - Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response

| Amount Bracket |  | Total | Column <br> \% | Actual Reports |  | Bracket Reports |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | n | \%Row | n | \%Row |
| \$0 | \$0 | 7688 | 78.18 | 7688 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1 | \$2,400 | 263 | 2.67 | 244 | 92.78 | 19 | 7.22 |
| \$2,401 | \$5,000 | 359 | 3.65 | 329 | 91.64 | 30 | 8.36 |
| \$5,001 | $\infty$ | 1321 | 13.43 | 1279 | 96.82 | 42 | 3.18 |
| \$1 | \$5,000 | 6 | 0.06 |  |  | 6 | 100.00 |
| \$1 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| DK/NR |  | 197 | 2.00 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 9834 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |

Appendix A.1.28.3 MHAS/ENASEM 2001
Family help income-3 - Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response

| Amount Bracket |  | Total | Column\% | Actual Reports |  | Bracket Reports |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | n | \%Row | n | \%Row |
| \$0 | \$0 | 8648 | 87.94 | 8648 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1 | \$2,400 | 202 | 2.05 | 193 | 95.54 | 9 | 4.46 |
| \$2,401 | \$5,000 | 211 | 2.15 | 190 | 90.05 | 21 | 9.95 |
| \$5,001 | $\infty$ | 650 | 6.61 | 633 | 97.38 | 17 | 2.62 |
| \$1 | \$5,000 | 1 | 0.01 |  |  | 1 | 100.00 |
| \$1 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| DK/NR |  | 122 | 1.24 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 9834 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |

Appendix A.1.28.4 MHAS/ENASEM 2001
Family help income-4 - Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response

| Amount Bracket |  | Total <br> n | Column <br> \% | Actual Reports |  | Bracket Reports |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | n | \%Row | n | \%Row |
| \$0 | \$0 | 9228 | 93.84 | 9228 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1 | \$2,400 | 114 | 1.16 | 104 | 91.23 | 10 | 8.77 |
| \$2,401 | \$5,000 | 115 | 1.17 | 100 | 86.96 | 15 | 13.04 |
| \$5,001 | $\infty$ | 293 | 2.98 | 282 | 96.25 | 11 | 3.75 |
| \$1 | \$5,000 | 1 | 0.01 |  |  | 1 | 100.00 |
| \$1 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| DK/NR |  | 83 | 0.84 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 9834 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |

Appendix A.1.28.5 MHAS/ENASEM 2001
Family help income-5 - Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response

| Amount Bracket |  | Total | Column \% | Actual Reports |  | Bracket Reports |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | n | \%Row | n | \%Row |
| \$0 | \$0 | 9523 | 96.84 | 9523 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1 | \$2,400 | 63 | 0.64 | 59 | 93.65 | 4 | 6.35 |
| \$2,401 | \$5,000 | 61 | 0.62 | 49 | 80.33 | 12 | 19.67 |
| \$5,001 | $\infty$ | 126 | 1.28 | 120 | 95.24 | 6 | 4.76 |
| \$1 | \$5,000 | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 61 | 0.62 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 9834 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Appendix A.1.28.6 MHAS/ENASEM 2001 <br> Family help income-6 - Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amount Bracket |  | Total | Column | Actual Reports |  | Bracket Reports |  |
|  |  | n | \% | n | \%Row | n | \%Row |
| \$0 | \$0 | 9662 | 98.25 | 9662 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1 | \$2,400 | 33 | 0.34 | 31 | 93.94 | 2 | 6.06 |
| \$2,401 | \$5,000 | 40 | 0.41 | 32 | 80.00 | 8 | 20.00 |
| \$5,001 | $\infty$ | 63 | 0.64 | 59 | 93.65 | 4 | 6.35 |
| \$1 | \$5,000 | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 36 | 0.37 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 9834 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Appendix A.1.28.7 MHAS/ENASEM 2001 <br> Family help income-7 - Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amount Bracket |  | Total | Column | Actual Reports |  | Bracket Reports |  |
|  |  | n | \% | n | \%Row | n | \%Row |
| \$0 | \$0 | 9736 | 99.00 | 9736 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1 | \$2,400 | 16 | 0.16 | 16 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$2,401 | \$5,000 | 27 | 0.27 | 21 | 77.78 | 6 | 22.22 |
| \$5,001 | $\infty$ | 31 | 0.32 | 28 | 90.32 | 3 | 9.68 |
| \$1 | \$5,000 | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| DK/NR |  | 24 | 0.24 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 9834 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |



Appendix A.2.4a MHAS/ENASEM 2001
Gross value business-1 - Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response

| Amount Bracket |  | Total | Column | Actual Reports |  | Bracket Reports |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | n | \% | n | \%Row | n | \%Row |
| \$0 | \$0 | 7172 | 73.10 | 7172 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1 | \$25,000 | 982 | 10.01 | 843 | 85.85 | 139 | 14.15 |
| \$25,001 | \$75,000 | 452 | 4.61 | 297 | 65.71 | 155 | 34.29 |
| \$75,001 | \$250,000 | 440 | 4.48 | 322 | 73.18 | 118 | 26.82 |
| \$250,001 | $\infty$ | 275 | 2.80 | 171 | 62.18 | 104 | 37.82 |
| \$75,001 | $\infty$ | 17 | 0.17 |  |  | 17 | 100.00 |
| \$1 | \$75,000 | 12 | 0.12 |  |  | 12 | 100.00 |
| \$1 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| DK/NR |  | 461 | 4.70 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 9811 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |

Appendix A.2.4b MHAS/ENASEM 2001
Gross value business-2 - Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response

| Amount Bracket |  | Total | Column <br> \% | Actual Reports |  | Bracket Reports |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | n | \%Row | n | \%Row |
| \$0 | \$0 | 9568 | 97.52 | 9568 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1 | \$25,000 | 121 | 1.23 | 106 | 87.60 | 15 | 12.40 |
| \$25,001 | \$75,000 | 32 | 0.33 | 30 | 93.75 | 2 | 6.25 |
| \$75,001 | \$250,000 | 30 | 0.31 | 23 | 76.67 | 7 | 23.33 |
| \$250,001 | $\infty$ | 17 | 0.17 | 13 | 76.47 | 4 | 23.53 |
| \$75,001 | $\infty$ | 1 | 0.01 |  |  | 1 | 100.00 |
| \$1 | \$75,000 | 2 | 0.02 |  |  | 2 | 100.00 |
| \$1 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| DK/NR |  | 40 | 0.41 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 9811 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |

Appendix A.2.5a MHAS/ENASEM 2001
Total debt business-1 - Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response

| Amount Bracket |  | Total <br> n | Column\% | Actual Reports |  | Bracket Reports |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | n | \%Row | n | \%Row |
| \$0 | \$0 | 9575 | 97.59 | 9575 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1 | \$25,000 | 100 | 1.02 | 92 | 92.00 | 8 | 8.00 |
| \$25,001 | \$75,000 | 24 | 0.24 | 20 | 83.33 | 4 | 16.67 |
| \$75,001 | \$250,000 | 15 | 0.15 | 11 | 73.33 | 4 | 26.67 |
| \$250,001 | $\infty$ | 12 | 0.12 | 11 | 91.67 | 1 | 8.33 |
| \$75,001 | $\infty$ | 2 | 0.02 |  |  | 2 | 100.00 |
| \$1 | \$75,000 | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| DK/NR |  | 83 | 0.85 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 9811 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |

Appendix A.2.5b MHAS/ENASEM 2001
Total debt business-2 - Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response

| Amount Bracket |  | Total <br> n | Column <br> \% | Actual Reports |  | Bracket Reports |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | n | \%Row | n | \%Row |
| \$0 | \$0 | 9781 | 99.69 | 9781 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1 | \$25,000 | 12 | 0.12 | 11 | 91.67 | 1 | 8.33 |
| \$25,001 | \$75,000 | 1 | 0.01 | 1 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$75,001 | \$250,000 | 2 | 0.02 |  |  | 2 | 100.00 |
| \$250,001 | $\infty$ | 1 | 0.01 | 1 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$75,001 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1 | \$75,000 | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| DK/NR |  | 14 | 0.14 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 9811 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |


| Appendix A.2.6a MHAS/ENASEM 2001 <br> Gross value other real state properties-1 - Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount | Bracket | Total | Column <br> \% | Actual Reports |  | Bracket Reports |  |
|  |  |  |  | n | \%Row | n | \%Row |
| \$0 | \$0 | 9114 | 92.90 | 9114 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1 | \$50,000 | 184 | 1.88 | 164 | 89.13 | 20 | 10.87 |
| \$50,001 | \$100,000 | 128 | 1.30 | 87 | 67.97 | 41 | 32.03 |
| \$100,001 | \$250,000 | 198 | 2.02 | 150 | 75.76 | 48 | 24.24 |
| \$250,001 | $\infty$ | 114 | 1.16 | 55 | 48.25 | 59 | 51.75 |
| \$100,001 | $\infty$ | 6 | 0.06 |  |  | 6 | 100.00 |
| \$1 | \$100,000 | 2 | 0.02 |  |  | 2 | 100.00 |
| \$1 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| DK/NR |  | 65 | 0.66 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 9811 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |

Appendix A.2.6b MHAS/ENASEM 2001
Gross value other real state properties-2 - Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response

| Amount Bracket |  | Total | Column <br> \% | Actual Reports |  | Bracket Reports |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | n | \%Row | n | \%Row |
| \$0 | \$0 | 9771 | 99.59 | 9771 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1 | \$50,000 | 7 | 0.07 | 7 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$50,001 | \$100,000 | 3 | 0.03 | 3 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$100,001 | \$250,000 | 13 | 0.13 | 12 | 92.31 | 1 | 7.69 |
| \$250,001 | $\infty$ | 4 | 0.04 | 1 | 25.00 | 3 | 75.00 |
| \$100,001 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1 | \$100,000 | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| DK/NR |  | 13 | 0.13 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 9811 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |


| Appendix A.2.7a MHAS/ENASEM 2001 <br> Total debt other real state properties- | - Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Appendix A.2.7b MHAS/ENASEM 2001 Total debt other real state properties-2 |  |  | - Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount Bracket |  | Total | Column | Actual Reports |  | Bracket Reports |  |
|  |  | n | \% | n | \%Row | n | \%Row |
| \$0 | \$0 | 9798 | 99.87 | 9798 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1 | \$50,000 | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$50,001 | \$100,000 | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$100,001 | \$250,000 | 1 | 0.01 | 1 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$250,001 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$100,001 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1 | \$100,000 | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| DK/NR |  | 12 | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 9811 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |



| Appendix A.2.9 MHAS/ENASEM 2001 <br> Gross value vehicles - Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount Bracket |  | Total | Column | Actual Reports |  | Bracket Reports |  |
|  |  | n | \% | n | \%Row | n | \%Row |
| \$0 | \$0 | 7242 | 73.82 | 7242 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1 | \$50,000 | 1723 | 17.56 | 1639 | 95.12 | 84 | 4.88 |
| \$50,001 | \$100,000 | 357 | 3.64 | 290 | 81.23 | 67 | 18.77 |
| \$100,001 | \$250,000 | 238 | 2.43 | 197 | 82.77 | 41 | 17.23 |
| \$250,001 | $\infty$ | 91 | 0.93 | 64 | 70.33 | 27 | 29.67 |
| \$100,001 | $\infty$ | 6 | 0.06 |  |  | 6 | 100.00 |
| \$1 | \$100,000 | 4 | 0.04 |  |  | 4 | 100.00 |
| \$1 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 150 | 1.53 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 9811 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Appendix A.2.10 MHAS/ENASEM 2001 <br> Total debt vehicles - Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amoun | Bracket | Total | Column | Actual Reports |  | Bracket Reports |  |
|  |  | n | \% | n | \%Row | n | \%Row |
| \$0 | \$0 | 9616 | 98.01 | 9616 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$1 | \$50,000 | 68 | 0.69 | 65 | 95.59 | 3 | 4.41 |
| \$50,001 | \$100,000 | 37 | 0.38 | 28 | 75.68 | 9 | 24.32 |
| \$100,001 | \$250,000 | 16 | 0.16 | 12 | 75.00 | 4 | 25.00 |
| \$250,001 | $\infty$ | 1 | 0.01 | 1 | 100.00 |  |  |
| \$100,001 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1 | \$100,000 | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| DK/NR |  | 73 | 0.74 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 9811 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |

Appendix A.2.11 MHAS/ENASEM 2001
Net value other assets - Distribution of Responses by Amount and Type of Response

| Amount Bracket | Total <br> Actual Reports | Column |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | $\%$ |
| $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 3006 |
| $\$ 1$ | $\$ 50,000$ | 3742 |
| $\$ 50,001$ | $\$ 100,000$ | 281 |
| $\$ 100,001$ | $\$ 250,000$ | 161 |
| $\$ 250,001$ | $\infty$ | 152 |
| DK/NR | 2469 | 38.64 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{9 8 1 1}$ | 1.86 |
|  |  |  |

## Appendix B

## Appendix B- IVEWare Programs Used for Imputation

```
Appendix B.1.a IVEware Program for Respondent's Income Components
options set = SRCLIB "c:\sas\srclib" sasautos = (SRCLIB sasautos) mautosource;
LIBNAME mylib1 "E:\imputations\data\imputed\myindir";
LIBNAME mylib2 "E:\imputations\data\imputed\myoutdir";
%IMPUTE(NAME=IMPSETUP, DIR=E:\imputations\data\imputed\myoutdir, SETUP=new);
DATAIN dum1 sl;
DATAOUT imp1_s;
mixed am44 am45 am47 am48
    am10_1 am10_2
    am13_1 am13_2
    am27-1 am27 2
    am24_1 am24_2
    am33_1 am33_2 am33_3
    am55a am55b am55c am55d
    am76a am76b
    am18_1 am18_2 am18_3 am18_4 am18_5 am18_6 am18_7 escola1;
CATEGORICAL sexo;
COUNT edad;
TRANSFER HHID LOW44 UP44 DUM44 LOW45 UP45 DUM45
    LOW47 UP47 DUM47 low48 up48 dum48
    LOW10_1 UP10_1 DUM10_1 LOW10_2 UP10_2 DUM10_2
    LOW13_1 UP13_1 DUM13_1 LOW13 2 UP13 2 DUM13 2
    LOW27_1 UP27_1 DUM27_1 LOW27_2 UP27_2 DUM27_2
    LOW24_1 UP24_1 DUM24_1 LOW24_2 UP24_2 DUM24_2
    low33_1 up33_1 dum33_1
    low33_2 up33_2dum33_2
    low33_3 up33_3 dum33_3
    low55a up55a dum55a
    low55b up55b dum55b
    low55c up55c dum55c
    low55d up55d dum55d
    low76a up76a dum76a low76b up76b dum76b
    low18_1 up18_1 dum18_1
    low18_2 up18_2 dum18_2
    low18_3 up18_3 dum18_3
    low18_4 up18_4 dum18_4
    low18_5 up18_5 dum18_5
    low18_6 up18_6 dum18_6
    low18_7 up18_7 dum18_7;
    continue...
```

```
BOUNDS AM44 (<=UP44, >=LOW44)
    AM45 (<=UP45, >=LOW45)
    AM47 (<=UP47, >=LOW47)
    AM48 (<=UP48, >=LOW48)
    AM10 1 (<=UP10 1, >=LOW10 1)
    AM10_2 (<=UP10_2, >=LOW10_2)
    AM13_1 (<=UP13_1, >=LOW13_1)
    AM13_2(<=UP13_2, >=LOW13_2)
    AM27_1 (<=UP27_1, >=LOW27 1)
    AM27-2(<=UP27- 2, >==LOW27 2)
    AM24_1 (<=UP24_1, >=LOW24_1)
    AM24_2 (<=UP24_2, >=LOW24_2)
    AM33_1 (<=UP33_1, >=LOW33_1)
    AM33_2(<=UP33_2, >=LOW33_2)
    AM33_3 (<=UP33_3, >=LOW33_3)
    AM55a (<=UP55a, >=LOW55a)
    AM55b (<=UP55b, >=LOW55b)
    AM55c (<=UP55c, >=LOW55c)
    AM55d (<=UP55d, >=LOW55d)
    AM76a (<=UP76a, >=LOW76a)
    AM76b (<=UP76b, >=LOW76b)
    AM18_1(<=UP18_1, >=LOW18_1)
    AM18_2(<=UP18_2, >=LOW18_2)
    AM18_3 (<=UP18_3, >=LOW18_3)
    AM18_4 (<=UP18_4, >=LOW18_4)
    AM18_5 (<=UP18_5, >=LOW18_5)
    AM18_6 (<=UP18_6, >=LOW18_6)
    AM18_7 (<=UP18_7, >=LOW18_7);
INTERACT edad*sexo edad*edad sexo*escola1 escola1*escola1;
Iterations 5;
SEED 2001;
RUN;
```

```
Appendix B.1.b
IVEware Program for Respondent's Income Components
```

```
options set = SRCLIB "c:\sas\srclib" sasautos = (SRCLIB sasautos)
```

options set = SRCLIB "c:\sas\srclib" sasautos = (SRCLIB sasautos)
mautosource;
mautosource;
LIBNAME mylib1 "E:\imputations\data\imputed\myindir";
LIBNAME mylib1 "E:\imputations\data\imputed\myindir";
LIBNAME mylib2 "E:\imputations\data\imputed\myoutdir";
LIBNAME mylib2 "E:\imputations\data\imputed\myoutdir";
%IMPUTE(NAME=IMPSETUP, DIR=E:\imputations\data\imputed \myoutdir, SETUP=new);
%IMPUTE(NAME=IMPSETUP, DIR=E:\imputations\data\imputed \myoutdir, SETUP=new);
DATAIN dum2_s1;
DATAIN dum2_s1;
DATAOUT imp2_s;
DATAOUT imp2_s;
Mixed am18_1 am18_2 am18_3 am18_4 am18_5 am18_6 am18_7 escola1;
Mixed am18_1 am18_2 am18_3 am18_4 am18_5 am18_6 am18_7 escola1;
CATEGORICAL sexo;
CATEGORICAL sexo;
COUNT edad;
COUNT edad;
TRANSFER HHID low18_1 up18_1 dum18_1
TRANSFER HHID low18_1 up18_1 dum18_1
low18_2 up18_2 dum18_2
low18_2 up18_2 dum18_2
low18_3 up18_3 dum18_3
low18_3 up18_3 dum18_3
low18_4 up18_4 dum18_4
low18_4 up18_4 dum18_4
low18_5 up18_5 dum18_5
low18_5 up18_5 dum18_5
low18_6 up18_6 dum18_6
low18_6 up18_6 dum18_6
low18_7 up18_7 dum18_7;
low18_7 up18_7 dum18_7;
BOUNDS AM18_1(<=UP18_1, >=LOW18_1)
BOUNDS AM18_1(<=UP18_1, >=LOW18_1)
AM18_2 (<=UP18_2, >=LOW18_2)
AM18_2 (<=UP18_2, >=LOW18_2)
AM18_3 (<=UP18_3, >=LOW18_3)
AM18_3 (<=UP18_3, >=LOW18_3)
AM18_4(<=UP18_4, >=LOW18_4)
AM18_4(<=UP18_4, >=LOW18_4)
AM18_5 (<=UP18_5, >=LOW18_5)
AM18_5 (<=UP18_5, >=LOW18_5)
AM18_6 (<=UP18_6, >=LOW18_6)
AM18_6 (<=UP18_6, >=LOW18_6)
AM18_7 (<=UP18_7, >=LOW18_7);
AM18_7 (<=UP18_7, >=LOW18_7);
INTERACT edad*sexo edad*edad sexo*escola1 escola1*escola1;
INTERACT edad*sexo edad*edad sexo*escola1 escola1*escola1;
Iterations 5;
Iterations 5;
SEED 2001;
SEED 2001;
RUN;

```
RUN;
```

```
Appendix B. }
IVEware Program for Spouse's Income Components
options set = SRCLIB "c:\sas\srclib" sasautos = (SRCLIB sasautos)
mautosource;
LIBNAME mylib1 "E:\imputations\data\imputed\myindir";
LIBNAME mylib2 "E:\imputations\data\imputed\myoutdir";
%IMPUTE(NAME=IMPSETUP, DIR=E:\imputations\data\imputed\myoutdir, SETUP=new);
DATAIN dum1_p1;
DATAOUT impl_p;
mixed am50 am51 am53 am54
    am61a am61b am61c am61d
    am79a am79b escola1;
CATEGORICAL sexo;
COUNT edad;
TRANSFER HHID low50 up50 dum50
    low51 up51 dum51
    low53 up53 dum53
    low54 up54 dum54
    low61a up61a dum61a
    low61b up61b dum61b
    low61c up61c dum61c
    low61d up61d dum61d
    low79a up79a dum79a
    low79b up79b dum79b;
BOUNDS AM51 (<=UP51, >=LOW51)
    AM53 (<=UP53, >=LOW53)
    AM54 (<=UP54, >=LOW54)
    AM50 (<=UP50, >=LOW50)
    AM61a (<=UP61a, >=LOW61a)
    AM61b (<=UP61b, >=LOW61b)
    AM61c (<=UP61c, >=LOW61c)
    AM61d (<=UP61d, >=LOW61d)
    AM79a (<=UP79a, >=LOW79a)
    AM79b (<=UP79b, >=LOW79b);
INTERACT edad*sexo edad*edad sexo*escola1 escola1*escola1;
Iterations 5;
SEED 2001;
RUN;
```

```
Appendix B. }
IVEware Program for Assets
options set = SRCLIB "c:\sas\srclib" sasautos = (SRCLIB sasautos) mautosource;
LIBNAME mylib1 "E:\imputations\data\imputed\myindir";
LIBNAME mylib2 "E:\imputations\data\imputed\myoutdir";
%IMPUTE(NAME=IMPSETUP, DIR=E:\imputations\data\imputed\myoutdir, SETUP=new);
DATAIN dum1_a1;
DATAOUT imp_aa;
CONTINUOUS am85;
Mixed escola1 amj14 amj20 amj18 amj26 amk8_1 amk8_2 amk3_1
    amk3_2 amk22_1 amk22_2 amk17_1 amk17_2 amk29a amk29b
    amk29c amk36 amk37 amk42 am82;
CATEGORICAL sexo dum85
COUNT edad;
TRANSFER HHID lowj14 upj14 dumj14
    lowj20 upj20 dumj20
    lowj18 upj18 dumj18
    lowj26 upj26 dumj26
    lowk8_1 upk8_1 dumk8_1
    lowk8_2 upk8_2 dumk8_2
    lowk3_1 upk3_1 dumk3_1
    lowk3_2 upk3_2dumk3_2
    lowk22_1 upk22_1 dumk22_1
    lowk22_2 upk22_2 dumk22_2
    lowk17_1 upk17_1 dumk17_1
    lowk17_2 upk17_2 dumk17_2
    lowk29a upk29a dumk29a
    lowk29b upk29b dumk29b
    lowk29c upk29c dumk29c
    lowk36 upk36 dumk36
    lowk37 upk37 dumk37
    lowk42 upk42 dumk42
    low82 up82 dum82
    low85 up85;
RESTRICT am85 (dum85=1,9)
```

BOUNDS amj14 (>=lowj14, <=upj14)
amj20 (>=lowj20, <=upj20)
amj18 (>=lowj18, <=upj18)
amj26 (>=lowj26, <=upj26)
amk8_1 (>=lowk8_1, <=upk8_1)
amk8_2 (>=lowk8_2, <=upk8_2)
amk3_1 (>=lowk3_1, <=upk3_1)
amk3_2 (>=lowk3_2, <=upk3_2)
amk22 1 (>=lowk 22 1,<=upk}22 1)
amk22_2 (>=lowk22_2, <=upk22_2)
amk17_1 (>=lowk17_1,<=upk17_1)
amk17_2 (>=lowk17_2, <=upk17_2)
amk29a (>=lowk29a,<=upk29a)
amk29b (>=lowk29b, <=upk29b)
amk29c (>=lowk29c, <=upk29c)
amk36 (>=lowk36, <=upk36)
amk37 (>=lowk37, <=upk37)
amk42 (>=lowk42, <=upk42)
am82 (>=low82, <=up82)
am85 (>=low85, <=up85);

```
INTERACT edad*sexo edad*edad sexo*escola1 escola1*escola1;
Iterations 5;
SEED 2001;
RUN;
```

Appendix B. }
IVEware Program for Assets
options set = SRCLIB "c:\sas\srclib" sasautos = (SRCLIB sasautos)
mautosource;
LIBNAME mylib1 "E:\imputations\data\imputed\myindir";
LIBNAME mylib2 "E:\imputations\data\imputed\myoutdir";
%IMPUTE(NAME=IMPSETUP, DIR=E:\imputations\data\imputed\myoutdir, SETUP=new);
DATAIN dum2_a1;
DATAOUT imp2_a;
mixed escolal amj14 amj20 amj18 amj26;
CATEGORICAL sexo;
COUNT edad;
TRANSFER HHID lowj14 upj14 dumj14
lowj20 upj20 dumj20
lowj18 upj18 dumj18
lowj26 upj26 dumj26;
BOUNDS amj14 (>==lowj14, <=upj14)
amj20 (>=lowj20, <=upj20)
amj18(>=lowj18, <=upj18)
amj26 (>=lowj26, <=upj26);
INTERACT edad*sexo edad*edad sexo*escola1 escola1*escola1;
Iterations 5;
SEED 2001;
RUN;

```
```

Appendix B. }
IVEware Program for Help Given - G6

```
```

options set = SRCLIB "c:\sas\srclib" sasautos = (SRCLIB sasautos)

```
options set = SRCLIB "c:\sas\srclib" sasautos = (SRCLIB sasautos)
mautosource;
mautosource;
LIBNAME mylib1 "E:\imputations\data\imputed\myindir";
LIBNAME mylib1 "E:\imputations\data\imputed\myindir";
LIBNAME mylib2 "E:\imputations\data\imputed\myoutdir";
LIBNAME mylib2 "E:\imputations\data\imputed\myoutdir";
%IMPUTE(NAME=IMPSETUP2, DIR=E:\imputations\datalimputed\myoutdir, SETUP=new);
%IMPUTE(NAME=IMPSETUP2, DIR=E:\imputations\datalimputed\myoutdir, SETUP=new);
DATAIN dum_g6e;
DATAIN dum_g6e;
DATAOUT imp_g6;
DATAOUT imp_g6;
mixed am6_1 am6_2 am6_3 am6_4 am6_5 am6_6 am6_7 escola1;
mixed am6_1 am6_2 am6_3 am6_4 am6_5 am6_6 am6_7 escola1;
CATEGORICAL sexo;
CATEGORICAL sexo;
COUNT edad;
COUNT edad;
TRANSFER HHID
TRANSFER HHID
    low6_1 up6_1 dum6_1
    low6_1 up6_1 dum6_1
    low6_2 up6_2 dum6_2
    low6_2 up6_2 dum6_2
    low6_3 up6_3 dum6_3
    low6_3 up6_3 dum6_3
    low6_4 up6_4 dum6_4
    low6_4 up6_4 dum6_4
    low6_5 up6_5 dum6_5
    low6_5 up6_5 dum6_5
    low6_6 up6_6 dum6_6
    low6_6 up6_6 dum6_6
    low6_7 up6_7 dum6_7;
    low6_7 up6_7 dum6_7;
BOUNDS AM6_1 (>=LOW6_1, <=UP6_1)
BOUNDS AM6_1 (>=LOW6_1, <=UP6_1)
    AM6_2 (>=LOW6_2, <=UP6_2)
    AM6_2 (>=LOW6_2, <=UP6_2)
    AM6_3 (>=LOW6_3, <=UP6_3)
    AM6_3 (>=LOW6_3, <=UP6_3)
    AM6_4 (>=LOW6_4, <=UP6_4)
    AM6_4 (>=LOW6_4, <=UP6_4)
    AM6_5 (>=LOW6_5, <=UP6_5)
    AM6_5 (>=LOW6_5, <=UP6_5)
    AM6_6 (>=LOW6_6, <=UP6_6)
    AM6_6 (>=LOW6_6, <=UP6_6)
    AM6_7 (>=LOW6_7, <=UP6_7);
    AM6_7 (>=LOW6_7, <=UP6_7);
INTERACT edad*sexo edad*edad sexo*escola1 escola1*escola1;
Iterations 5;
SEED 2001;
RUN;
```

```
Appendix B. }
IVEware Program for Hospitals and other utilization - D6, D9
options set = SRCLIB "c:\sas\srclib" sasautos = (SRCLIB sasautos)
mautosource;
LIBNAME mylib1 "E:\imputations\data\imputed\myindir";
LIBNAME mylib2 "E:\imputations\data\imputed\myoutdir";
%IMPUTE(NAME=IMPSETUP, DIR=E:\imputations\data\imputed\myoutdir, SETUP=new);
DATAIN dum_d3;
DATAOUT imp_dl;
mixed amd6 amd9_1 amd9_2 amd9_3 amd9_4 amd9_5 escola1;
CATEGORICAL sexo;
COUNT edad;
TRANSFER ID LOWd6 UPd6 DUMd6
    LOW9 1 UP9 1 DUMd9 1
    LOW9_2 UP9_2 DUMd9_2
    LOW9_3 up9_3 dumd9_3
    LOW9_4 UP9_4 DUMd9_4
    LOW9_5 UP9_5 DUMd9_5;
BOUNDS AMd6 (<=UPd6, >=LOWd6)
    AMd9_1 (<=UP9_1, >=LOW9_1)
    AMd9_2 (<=UP9_2, >=LOW9_2)
    AMd9_3 (<=UP9_3, >=LOW9_3)
    AMd9_4 (<=UP9_4, >=LOW9_4)
    AMd9_5 (<=UP9_5, >=LOW9_5);
INTERACT edad*sexo edad*edad sexo*escola1 escola1*escola1;
Iterations 5;
SEED 2001;
RUN;
```

```
Appendix B.6
IVEware Program for Household Monthly Rent - J12
options set = SRCLIB "c:\sas\srclib" sasautos = (SRCLIB sasautos)
mautosource;
LIBNAME mylib1 "E:\imputations\data\imputed\myindir";
LIBNAME mylib2 "E:\imputations\data\imputed\myoutdir";
%IMPUTE(NAME=IMPSETUP, DIR=E:\imputations\data\imputed\myoutdir, SETUP=new);
DATAIN dum_j3;
DATAOUT imp_j1;
mixed amj12 escola1;
CATEGORICAL sexo;
COUNT edad;
TRANSFER HHID LOWj12 UPj12 DUMj12;
BOUNDS AMj12 (<=UPj12, >=LOWj12);
INTERACT edad*sexo edad*edad sexo*escola1 escola1*escola1;
Iterations 5;
SEED 2001;
RUN;
```


## Appendix C

# APPENDIX C - DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS OF ORIGINAL AND IMPUTED VARIABLES 

## Appendix C. 1 MHAS/ENASEM 2001 Total Sampled's Income Components: <br> (Including zeros)

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| am44 | 9628 | 926.66 | 5127.35 | 0 | 393460.00 |
| imam44 | 9811 | 1043.59 | 5213.85 | 0 | 393460.00 |
| am45 | 9747 | 750.64 | 6116.68 | 0 | 300000.00 |
| imam45 | 9811 | 820.47 | 6237.47 | 0 | 300000.00 |
| am47 | 9802 | 24.31 | 548.79 | 0 | 42000.00 |
| imam47 | 9811 | 29.85 | 592.88 | 0 | 42000.00 |
| am48 | 9806 | 13.03 | 449.91 | 0 | 30000.00 |
| imam48 | 9811 | 18.85 | 580.51 | 0 | 30000.00 |
| am10_1 | 9419 | 2768.32 | 45576.80 | 0 | 2500000.00 |
| imam10_1 | 9811 | 5458.44 | 63830.83 | 0 | 2500000.00 |
| am10_2 | 9777 | 157.93 | 8209.29 | 0 | 800000.00 |
| imam10_2 | 9811 | 205.30 | 8314.96 | 0 | 800000.00 |
| am13_1 | 9409 | 1940.34 | 37278.77 | 0 | 2450000.00 |
| imam13_1 | 9811 | 3783.84 | 49013.15 | 0 | 2450000.00 |
| am13_2 | 9778 | 75.60 | 3129.90 | 0 | 300000.00 |
| imam13_2 | 9811 | 122.35 | 3345.41 | 0 | 300000.00 |
| am27_1 | 9740 | 104.19 | 5143.65 | 0 | 500000.00 |
| imam27_1 | 9811 | 163.23 | 5438.09 | 0 | 500000.00 |
| am27_2 | 9797 | 4.49 | 238.39 | 0 | 20000.00 |
| imam27_2 | 9811 | 7.80 | 330.55 | 0 | 20000.00 |
| am24_1 | 9768 | 1020.37 | 76265.56 | 0 | 7500000.00 |
| imam24_1 | 9811 | 1782.73 | 82326.62 | 0 | 7500000.00 |
| am24_2 | 9797 | 5.17 | 176.58 | 0 | 10000.00 |
| imam24_2 | 9811 | 8.95 | 246.22 | 0 | 10000.00 |
| am33_1 | 9488 | 33.10 | 341.03 | 0 | 7776.00 |
| imam33_1 | 9811 | 67.47 | 454.78 | 0 | 7776.00 |
| am33_2 | 9804 | 0.75 | 52.41 | 0 | 5000.00 |
| imam33_2 | 9811 | 1.87 | 89.11 | 0 | 5000.00 |
| am33_3 | 9800 | 1.97 | 106.27 | 0 | 7775.00 |
| imam33_3 | 9811 | 4.83 | 161.02 | 0 | 7775.00 |
| am55a | 9766 | 317.85 | 2085.35 | 0 | 158054.00 |
| imam55a | 9811 | 335.44 | 2115.47 | 0 | 158054.00 |
| am55b | 9798 | 73.94 | 514.13 | 0 | 30000.00 |
| imam55b | 9811 | 75.98 | 517.93 | 0 | 30000.00 |
| am55c | 9809 | 17.29 | 272.00 | 0 | 12000.00 |
| imam55c | 9811 | 17.89 | 276.42 | 0 | 12000.00 |
| am55d | 9808 | 19.26 | 420.13 | 0 | 27000.00 |
| imam55d | 9811 | 20.06 | 422.79 | 0 | 27000.00 |
| am76a | 9761 | 60.73 | 795.61 | 0 | 56000.00 |
| imam76a | 9811 | 70.12 | 811.10 | 0 | 56000.00 |
| am76b | 9810 | 6.81 | 134.86 | 0 | 5000.00 |
| imam76b | 9811 | 6.91 | 135.22 | 0 | 5000.00 |
| am18_1 | 9315 | 13170.89 | 112467.30 | 0 | 4833600.00 |
| imam18_1 | 9834 | 19675.30 | 119083.89 | 0 | 4833600.00 |
| am18_2 | 9540 | 7442.09 | 89825.67 | 0 | 4850400.00 |
| imam18_2 | 9834 | 13942.91 | 103430.44 | 0 | 4850400.00 |
| am18_3 | 9664 | 3769.97 | 74182.59 | 0 | 4492800.00 |
| imam18_3 | 9834 | 8331.04 | 86460.57 | 0 | 4492800.00 |
| am18_4 | 9714 | 2002.53 | 61799.58 | 0 | 4924800.00 |
| imam18_4 | 9834 | 5197.61 | 73878.26 | 0 | 4924800.00 |
| am18_5 | 9751 | 438.16 | 9195.13 | 0 | 576000.00 |
| imam18_5 | 9834 | 2918.97 | 34423.15 | 0 | 576000.00 |
| am18_6 | 9784 | 392.78 | 21071.30 | 0 | 1996800.00 |
| imam18_6 | 9834 | 2019.19 | 49202.05 | 0 | 1996800.00 |
| am18_7 | 9801 | 78.90 | 2361.38 | 0 | 208000.00 |
| imam18_7 | 9834 | 568.96 | 10160.37 | 0 | 208000.00 |

## Appendix C. 2 MHAS/ENASEM 2001

 Total Spouse's Income Components:(Including zeros)

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| am50 | 5256 | 1275.39 | 3560.07 | 0 | 80000.00 |
| imam50 | 5513 | 1706.28 | 5081.66 | 0 | 80000.00 |
| am51 | 5390 | 1219.87 | 27788.15 | 0 | 1999999.00 |
| imam51 | 5513 | 2917.58 | 33009.91 | 0 | 1999999.00 |
| am53 | 5503 | 22.58 | 598.66 | 0 | 40000.00 |
| imam53 | 5513 | 38.40 | 843.77 | 0 | 40000.00 |
| am54 | 5507 | 9.13 | 365.10 | 0 | 23000.00 |
| imam54 | 5513 | 14.00 | 404.30 | 0 | 23000.00 |
| am61a | 5461 | 244.08 | 1212.62 | 0 | 40000.00 |
| imam61a | 5513 | 279.02 | 1280.08 | 0 | 40000.00 |
| am61b | 5512 | 0.36 | 19.50 | 0 | 1200.00 |
| imam61b | 5513 | 0.48 | 21.33 | 0 | 1200.00 |
| am61c | 5508 | 20.68 | 434.70 | 0 | 30000.00 |
| imam61c | 5513 | 22.48 | 440.19 | 0 | 30000.00 |
| am61d | 5512 | 6.58 | 171.90 | 0 | 8000.00 |
| imam61d | 5513 | 6.58 | 171.88 | 0 | 8000.00 |
| am79a | 5488 | 51.91 | 588.34 | 0 | 28000.00 |
| imam79a | 5513 | 60.70 | 624.16 | 0 | 28000.00 |
| am79b | 5511 | 0.09 | 6.74 | 0 | 500.00 |
| imam79b | 5513 | 0.27 | 11.66 | 0 | 500.00 |

## Appendix C. 3 MHAS/ENASEM 2001 <br> Total Assets and Household Consumption:

(Including zeros)

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| amj12 | 9789 | 69.15 | 467.75 | 0 | 15000.00 |
| imamj12 | 9815 | 71.03 | 472.68 | 0 | 15000.00 |
| amj14 | 6981 | 151339.40 | 333391.07 | 0 | 7777776.00 |
| imamj14 | 9815 | 195822.33 | 318295.85 | 0 | 7777776.00 |
| amj20 | 9590 | 1483.44 | 21837.99 | 0 | 1300000.00 |
| imamj20 | 9815 | 3040.29 | 29649.74 | 0 | 1300000.00 |
| amj18 | 9655 | 72.96 | 2632.65 | 0 | 230000.00 |
| imamj18 | 9815 | 231.79 | 3578.84 | 0 | 230000.00 |
| amj26 | 9487 | 15487.42 | 153115.53 | 0 | 7777776.00 |
| imamj26 | 9815 | 26621.41 | 182418.20 | 0 | 7777776.00 |
| amk8_1 | 8805 | 27678.08 | 247245.84 | 0 | 7777776.00 |
| imamk8_1 | 9811 | 56914.99 | 283688.90 | 0 | 7777776.00 |
| amk8_2 | 9740 | 2601.90 | 79906.75 | 0 | 5000000.00 |
| imamk8_2 | 9811 | 4345.56 | 87436.47 | 0 | 5000000.00 |
| amk3_1 | 9709 | 1725.60 | 81575.05 | 0 | 7777776.00 |
| imamk3_1 | 9811 | 2221.85 | 83071.25 | 0 | 7777776.00 |
| amk3_2 | 9794 | 62.67 | 5094.23 | 0 | 500000.00 |
| imamk3_2 | 9811 | 102.83 | 5366.44 | 0 | 500000.00 |
| amk22_1 | 9570 | 13852.81 | 162140.58 | 0 | 7777776.00 |
| imamk22_1 | 9811 | 24441.01 | 196932.06 | 0 | 7777776.00 |
| amk22_2 | 9794 | 464.26 | 13101.68 | 0 | 800000.00 |
| imamk22_2 | 9811 | 709.71 | 18006.22 | 0 | 800000.00 |
| amk17_1 | 9785 | 214.25 | 10868.86 | 0 | 1000000.00 |
| imamk17_1 | 9811 | 373.89 | 13986.10 | 0 | 1000000.00 |
| amk17_2 | 9799 | 14.29 | 1414.29 | 0 | 140000.00 |
| imamk17_2 | 9811 | 185.51 | 5093.04 | 0 | 140000.00 |
| amk29a | 9499 | 3962.33 | 30208.98 | 0 | 777776.00 |
| imamk29a | 9811 | 6373.10 | 35834.22 | 0 | 777776.00 |
| amk29b | 9808 | 96.69 | 4222.56 | 0 | 400000.00 |
| imamk29b | 9811 | 142.75 | 5861.75 | 0 | 400000.00 |
| amk29c | 9800 | 121.07 | 6652.64 | 0 | 600000.00 |
| imamk29c | 9811 | 162.18 | 6795.72 | 0 | 600000.00 |
| amk 36 | 9432 | 13286.26 | 61611.25 | 0 | 3000000.00 |
| imamk36 | 9811 | 16593.70 | 65020.86 | 0 | 3000000.00 |
| amk37 | 9722 | 585.72 | 7479.00 | 0 | 279000.00 |
| imamk37 | 9811 | 782.68 | 8815.44 | 0 | 279000.00 |
| amk 42 | 7342 | 27282.81 | 150424.09 | 0 | 7000000.00 |
| imamk 42 | 9811 | 46738.96 | 153485.53 | 0 | 7000000.00 |
| am82 | 9732 | 1327.23 | 12349.97 | 0 | 500000.00 |
| imam82 | 9811 | 1444.66 | 12563.08 | 0 | 500000.00 |
| am85 | 8910 | 3030.07 | 25863.72 | 1.00 | 2222100.00 |
| imam85 | 9811 | 3760.89 | 25143.18 | 1.00 | 2222100.00 |

## Appendix C. 4 MHAS/ENASEM 2001 <br> Help Given -G6:

(Including Zeros)

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| am6_1 | 9570 | 6960.95 | 189821.52 | 0 | 17472000.00 |
| imam6_1 | 9834 | 15989.11 | 207821.78 | 0 | 17472000.00 |
| am6_2 | 9717 | 2373.02 | 44012.61 | 0 | 3494400.00 |
| imam6_2 | 9834 | 6844.89 | 74167.63 | 0 | 3494400.00 |
| am6_3 | 9777 | 782.39 | 17908.72 | 0 | 1081600.00 |
| imam6_3 | 9834 | 3276.36 | 48767.57 | 0 | 1081600.00 |
| am6_4 | 9812 | 136.42 | 4334.75 | 0 | 395200.00 |
| imam6_4 | 9834 | 784.04 | 16237.85 | 0 | 395200.00 |
| am6_5 | 9826 | 23.68 | 675.72 | 0 | 40000.00 |
| imam6_5 | 9834 | 29.18 | 787.77 | 0 | 40000.00 |
| am6_6 | 9829 | 7.27 | 324.81 | 0 | 24000.00 |
| imam6_6 | 9834 | 8.02 | 329.49 | 0 | 24000.00 |
| am6_7 | 9832 | 0.74 | 56.48 | 0 | 5200.00 |
| imam6_7 | 9834 | 1.25 | 75.70 | 0 | 5200.00 |

## Appendix C. 5 MHAS/ENASEM 2001

Hospitals and other utilization of services -D6, D9:
(Including zeros)

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| amd 6 | 15038 | 393.05 | 6928.50 | 0 | 500000.00 |
| imamd6 | 15176 | 445.00 | 7045.10 | 0 | 500000.00 |
| amd9_1 | 15141 | 23.67 | 596.50 | 0 | 60000.00 |
| imamd9_1 | 15176 | 25.50 | 606.06 | 0 | 60000.00 |
| amd9_2 | 15142 | 26.13 | 326.96 | 0 | 26400.00 |
| imamd9_2 | 15176 | 27.75 | 340.84 | 0 | 26400.00 |
| amd9_3 | 15090 | 274.88 | 1299.47 | 0 | 60000.00 |
| imamd9_3 | 15176 | 283.50 | 1313.00 | 0 | 60000.00 |
| amd9_4 | 15149 | 29.90 | 502.29 | 0 | 22500.00 |
| imamd9_4 | 15176 | 31.17 | 511.10 | 0 | 22500.00 |
| amd9_5 | 14912 | 439.58 | 3178.48 | 0 | 250000.00 |
| imamd9_5 | 15176 | 488.79 | 3216.58 | 0 | 250000.00 |

## Appendix C. 6 MHAS/ENASEM 2001 <br> Total Sampled's Income Components: <br> (Without zeros)

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| am44 | 2382 | 3745.56 | 9784.35 | 40.00 | 393460.00 |
| imam4 4 | 2565 | 3991.69 | 9603.94 | 40.00 | 393460.00 |
| am45 | 1095 | 6681.71 | 17135.86 | 50.00 | 300000.00 |
| imam45 | 1159 | 6945.30 | 16941.58 | 45.60 | 300000.00 |
| am47 | 87 | 2738.49 | 5177.23 | 100.00 | 42000.00 |
| imam47 | 96 | 3051.06 | 5194.54 | 100.00 | 42000.00 |
| am48 | 23 | 5554.04 | 7618.43 | 200.00 | 30000.00 |
| imam48 | 28 | 6604.85 | 8793.72 | 1.00 | 30000.00 |
| am10_1 | 1744 | 14951.13 | 105079.89 | 10.00 | 2500000.00 |
| imam10_1 | 2074 | 25821.00 | 136948.94 | 10.00 | 2500000.00 |
| am10_2 | 164 | 9415.37 | 62882.39 | 48.00 | 800000.00 |
| imam10_2 | 190 | 10601.12 | 58973.15 | 48.00 | 800000.00 |
| am13_1 | 1878 | 9721.35 | 83005.29 | 1.00 | 2450000.00 |
| imam13_1 | 2156 | 17218.60 | 103461.46 | 1.00 | 2450000.00 |
| am13_2 | 170 | 4348.56 | 23410.37 | 2.00 | 300000.00 |
| imam13_2 | 201 | 5972.04 | 22668.20 | 2.00 | 300000.00 |
| am27_1 | 180 | 5637.91 | 37524.69 | 2.00 | 500000.00 |
| imam27_1 | 218 | 7345.92 | 35831.62 | 2.00 | 500000.00 |
| am27_2 | 10 | 4402.00 | 6352.09 | 60.00 | 20000.00 |
| imam27_2 | 14 | 5463.27 | 7096.22 | 60.00 | 20000.00 |
| am24_1 | 303 | 32894.29 | 432501.72 | 70.00 | 7500000.00 |
| imam24_1 | 327 | 53487.29 | 448530.79 | 70.00 | 7500000.00 |
| am24_2 | 13 | 3896.15 | 3005.03 | 300.00 | 10000.00 |
| imam24_2 | 19 | 4623.16 | 3243.87 | 300.00 | 10000.00 |
| am33_1 | 359 | 874.86 | 1530.84 | 2.00 | 7776.00 |
| imam33_1 | 603 | 1097.73 | 1495.82 | 1.00 | 7776.00 |
| am33_2 | 5 | 1470.00 | 2007.98 | 200.00 | 5000.00 |
| imam33_2 | 9 | 2039.00 | 2250.41 | 1.00 | 5000.00 |
| am33_3 | 5 | 3855.00 | 3016.43 | 1000.00 | 7775.00 |
| imam33_3 | 14 | 3386.60 | 2689.17 | 1.00 | 7775.00 |
| am55a | 1019 | 3046.22 | 5778.80 | 100.00 | 158054.00 |
| imam55a | 1064 | 3093.03 | 5723.88 | 11.79 | 158054.00 |
| am55b | 481 | 1506.07 | 1798.24 | 100.00 | 30000.00 |
| imam55b | 494 | 1508.90 | 1780.82 | 100.00 | 30000.00 |
| am55c | 93 | 1823.71 | 2148.27 | 75.00 | 12000.00 |
| imam55c | 95 | 1847.90 | 2134.59 | 75.00 | 12000.00 |
| am55d | 64 | 2952.31 | 4322.02 | 60.00 | 27000.00 |
| imam55d | 67 | 2937.15 | 4227.36 | 60.00 | 27000.00 |
| am76a | 813 | 729.15 | 2668.42 | 10.00 | 56000.00 |
| imam76a | 863 | 797.18 | 2628.07 | 10.00 | 56000.00 |
| am76b | 40 | 1671.18 | 1312.02 | 25.00 | 5000.00 |
| imam76b | 41 | 1654.64 | 1299.83 | 25.00 | 5000.00 |
| am18_1 | 2928 | 41901.25 | 197600.02 | 24.00 | 4833600.00 |
| imam18_1 | 3365 | 57499.83 | 198180.60 | 24.00 | 4833600.00 |
| am18_2 | 1852 | 38335.62 | 200988.23 | 24.00 | 4850400.00 |
| imam18_2 | 2146 | 63893.08 | 214120.54 | 2.77 | 4850400.00 |
| am18_3 | 1016 | 35859.28 | 226358.91 | 24.00 | 4492800.00 |
| imam18_3 | 1186 | 69078.83 | 240479.45 | 24.00 | 4492800.00 |
| am18_4 | 486 | 40025.86 | 273790.21 | 60.00 | 4924800.00 |
| imam18_4 | 606 | 84345.38 | 286393.88 | 60.00 | 4924800.00 |
| am18_5 | 228 | 18739.14 | 57333.36 | 200.00 | 576000.00 |
| imam18_5 | 311 | 92299.51 | 171200.36 | 200.00 | 576000.00 |
| am18_6 | 122 | 31499.93 | 186842.08 | 500.00 | 1996800.00 |
| imam18_6 | 172 | 115445.85 | 355013.02 | 1.00 | 1996800.00 |
| am18_7 | 65 | 11897.42 | 26665.31 | 400.00 | 208000.00 |
| imam18_7 | 98 | 57093.08 | 84879.04 | 400.00 | 208000.00 |

## Appendix C. 7 MHAS/ENASEM 2001 Total Spouse's Income Components:

(Without zeros)

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| am50 | 1776 | 3774.48 | 5299.47 | 60.00 | 80000.00 |
| imam50 | 2033 | 4627.01 | 7518.45 | 15.48 | 80000.00 |
| am51 | 762 | 8628.76 | 73513.02 | 50.00 | 1999999.00 |
| imam51 | 885 | 18174.73 | 80726.12 | 23.02 | 1999999.00 |
| am53 | 40 | 3107.10 | 6382.16 | 100.00 | 40000.00 |
| imam53 | 50 | 4233.94 | 7871.54 | 1.00 | 40000.00 |
| am54 | 6 | 8383.33 | 7908.33 | 800.00 | 23000.00 |
| imam54 | 12 | 6429.77 | 6074.94 | 1.00 | 23000.00 |
| am61a | 546 | 2441.30 | 3059.03 | 200.00 | 40000.00 |
| imam61a | 598 | 2572.28 | 3036.45 | 65.69 | 40000.00 |
| am61b | 2 | 1005.00 | 275.77 | 810.00 | 1200.00 |
| imam61b | 3 | 884.29 | 285.90 | 642.86 | 1200.00 |
| am61c | 66 | 1725.52 | 3608.69 | 30.00 | 30000.00 |
| imam61c | 71 | 1745.54 | 3493.83 | 30.00 | 30000.00 |
| am61d | 16 | 2266.88 | 2321.89 | 50.00 | 8000.00 |
| imam61d | 16 | 2266.88 | 2321.89 | 50.00 | 8000.00 |
| am79a | 394 | 723.02 | 2084.79 | 21.00 | 28000.00 |
| imam79a | 418 | 800.58 | 2134.42 | 21.00 | 28000.00 |
| am79b | 1 | 500.00 |  | 500.00 | 500.00 |
| imam79b | 3 | 500.00 | 0 | 500.00 | 500.00 |

## Appendix C. 8 MHAS/ENASEM 2001

## Total Assets and Household Consumption:

(Without zeros)

| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| amj12 | 599 | 1130.14 | 1542.74 | 50.00 | 15000.00 |
| imamj12 | 609 | 1144.70 | 1541.23 | 50.00 | 15000.00 |
| amj14 | 4652 | 227106.70 | 386777.83 | 100.00 | 7777776.00 |
| imamj14 | 7473 | 257192.05 | 342463.61 | 100.00 | 7777776.00 |
| amj20 | 178 | 79922.33 | 139755.38 | 100.00 | 1300000.00 |
| imamj20 | 290 | 102898.21 | 139794.06 | 100.00 | 1300000.00 |
| amj18 | 243 | 2899.08 | 16378.69 | 10.00 | 230000.00 |
| imamj18 | 367 | 6198.86 | 17502.80 | 10.00 | 230000.00 |
| amj26 | 571 | 257319.01 | 572561.40 | 500.00 | 7777776.00 |
| imamj26 | 868 | 301024.36 | 542194.36 | 500.00 | 7777776.00 |
| amk8_1 | 1633 | 149237.93 | 558231.71 | 1.00 | 7777776.00 |
| imamk8_1 | 2636 | 211833.45 | 516519.32 | 1.00 | 7777776.00 |
| amk8_2 | 172 | 147340.01 | 584979.41 | 1.00 | 5000000.00 |
| imamk8_2 | 240 | 177642.84 | 531872.75 | 1.00 | 5000000.00 |
| amk3_1 | 134 | 125028.46 | 685707.97 | 50.00 | 7777776.00 |
| imamk3_1 | 162 | 134559.25 | 634477.29 | 50.00 | 7777776.00 |
| amk3_2 | 13 | 47212.31 | 136992.03 | 260.00 | 500000.00 |
| imamk3_2 | 19 | 53095.74 | 112806.27 | 1.00 | 500000.00 |
| amk22_1 | 456 | 290726.64 | 687181.42 | 300.00 | 7777776.00 |
| imamk22_1 | 686 | 349549.23 | 664529.66 | 16.36 | 7777776.00 |
| amk22_2 | 23 | 197695.65 | 188799.66 | 10000.00 | 800000.00 |
| imamk22_2 | 28 | 248678.80 | 232062.18 | 1.00 | 800000.00 |
| amk17_1 | 19 | 110336.84 | 226684.17 | 2000.00 | 1000000.00 |
| imamk17_1 | 32 | 114632.68 | 219957.05 | 1.00 | 1000000.00 |
| amk17_2 | 1 | 140000.00 | - | 140000.00 | 140000.00 |
| imamk17_2 | 13 | 140000.00 | 0 | 140000.00 | 140000.00 |
| amk29a | 759 | 49589.21 | 95756.91 | 15.00 | 777776.00 |
| imamk29a | 1071 | 58381.41 | 93454.17 | 15.00 | 777776.00 |
| amk29b | 44 | 21552.27 | 59944.22 | 150.00 | 400000.00 |
| imamk29b | 47 | 29797.88 | 80154.75 | 150.00 | 400000.00 |
| amk29c | 11 | 107863.64 | 174884.05 | 700.00 | 600000.00 |
| imamk29c | 22 | 72323.52 | 126909.53 | 1.00 | 600000.00 |
| amk36 | 2190 | 57221.92 | 117639.58 | 30.00 | 3000000.00 |
| imamk36 | 2548 | 63893.57 | 115152.39 | 30.00 | 3000000.00 |
| amk37 | 106 | 53720.75 | 47926.10 | 1500.00 | 279000.00 |
| imamk 37 | 131 | 58617.65 | 49477.63 | 1500.00 | 279000.00 |
| amk 42 | 4336 | 46197.04 | 193504.12 | 10.00 | 7000000.00 |
| imamk 42 | 5802 | 79034.11 | 193094.43 | 10.00 | 7000000.00 |
| am82 | 916 | 14101.12 | 37970.27 | 30.00 | 500000.00 |
| imam82 | 964 | 14702.84 | 37585.57 | 30.00 | 500000.00 |
| am85 | 8910 | 3030.07 | 25863.72 | 1.00 | 2222100.00 |
| imam85 | 9811 | 3760.89 | 25143.18 | 1.00 | 2222100.00 |

## Appendix C. 9 MHAS/ENASEM 2001

Help Given-G6:
(Without zeros)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| am6_1 | 1208 | 55145.96 | 531978.45 | 200.00 | 17472000.00 |
| imam6_1 | 1407 | 111753.29 | 539762.17 | 200.00 | 17472000.00 |
| am6_2 | 610 | 37801.05 | 171939.77 | 200.00 | 3494400.00 |
| imam6_2 | 727 | 92589.58 | 257980.15 | 200.00 | 3494400.00 |
| am6_3 | 238 | 32140.42 | 110532.07 | 200.00 | 1081600.00 |
| imam6_3 | 295 | 109219.32 | 260638.50 | 200.00 | 1081600.00 |
| am6_4 | 75 | 17848.00 | 46592.00 | 500.00 | 395200.00 |
| imam6_4 | 97 | 79486.71 | 143825.40 | 500.00 | 395200.00 |
| am6_5 | 27 | 8617.04 | 9779.85 | 500.00 | 40000.00 |
| imam6_5 | 35 | 9197.91 | 10513.77 | 1.00 | 40000.00 |
| am6_6 | 9 | 7942.22 | 7662.38 | 2000.00 | 24000.00 |
| imam6_6 | 14 | 5634.50 | 6926.54 | 1.00 | 24000.00 |
| am6_7 | 2 | 3640.00 | 2206.17 | 2080.00 | 5200.00 |
| imam6_7 | 4 | 3070.25 | 2494.04 | 1.00 | 5200.00 |

## Appendix C. 10 MHAS/ENASEM 2001

Hospitalizations and other utilization of services -D6, D9
(Without zeros)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Variable | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| amd6 | 488 | 12111.96 | 36605.76 | 40.00 | 500000.00 |
| imamd6 | 543 | 12436.95 | 35216.87 | 40.00 | 500000.00 |
| amd9_1 | 684 | 523.96 | 2761.32 | 3.00 | 60000.00 |
| imamd9_1 | 695 | 556.80 | 2781.26 | 1.44 | 60000.00 |
| amd9_2 | 694 | 570.03 | 1423.10 | 2.00 | 26400.00 |
| imamd9_2 | 708 | 594.82 | 1468.23 | 2.00 | 26400.00 |
| amd9_3 | 2769 | 1498.01 | 2715.18 | 1.00 | 60000.00 |
| imamd9_3 | 2821 | 1525.12 | 2717.12 | 1.00 | 60000.00 |
| amd9_4 | 144 | 3145.78 | 4105.53 | 20.00 | 22500.00 |
| imamd9_4 | 150 | 3153.91 | 4085.25 | 20.00 | 22500.00 |
| amd9_5 | 4371 | 1499.65 | 5734.26 | 1.00 | 250000.00 |
| imamd9_5 | 4550 | 1630.32 | 5714.29 | 1.00 | 250000.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Family help_1 and family help_2 are the economic help received from Child 1 and Child 2 respectively.
    ${ }^{2}$ We find relatively high non-response rates only in cases in which the absolute number of observations is small. For example, Capital-assets-income-2 (with $25 \%$ of missing values conditional on receiving income) and Capital-assets-income-3 (with $36 \%$ of exact-amount reports conditional on receiving income) represent a total of 8 and 14 households, respectively.
    ${ }^{3}$ The question (K42) asked: "In case of a family emergency for which you had to sell all the other assets that you have not mentioned, about how much would they give you?" This question was not followed by bracket questions if non-response was given as an answer.

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ Non-response is defined as not-providing an exact value or a bracketed value. Respondents who declared that they do not receive a particular source of income are coded as having provided an exact value (zero).

[^2]:    ${ }^{5}$ For our purposes, we made no transformations to the variables, and used a linear regression. This is because the procedure imputes first if (yes/no) receives income or owns the item, and then proceeds to impute a value, using as limits the values provided by the brackets. Thus we consider that to impute on the non-zero part of the distribution and within the limits established by the brackets, the linear function would be adequate.

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ Own-income questions are for example, K44, K45, K47 and K48. The corresponding spouse's income questions are K50, K51, K53 and K54. See Table 1 for a full list of the variables.

